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Background

~Flash crowd~

Sharp increase of traffic at a given web server within a short time

- Response rate decreases or a web server may crash as the load increases
- Excessive traffic decrease throughput

Our goal

- Provide content stably even when flash crowds occur
- Reduce the load without enhancing the performance of the web server by using cooperation between Internet users

※A workload characterization study of the 1998 World Cup Web site (IEEE Network 2000)
Existing approach 1

**Server mirroring**

Place a number of mirror servers on the Internet and distributes accesses to the target web server over mirror servers.

**CDN (Contents Delivery Network)**

Difficult to know how many mirror servers are needed for flash crowd.
Existing approach 2

Admission control

Detects flash crowds and controls the admitted request rate for preventing excessive accesses to the web server

Cannot enjoy a satisfactory level of service when flash crowds occur
Existing approach 3

Peer-to-peer (P2P)

Searches and downloads content on a P2P network without accessing the web server

 Doesn’t know who has the desired content, and the overhead of message to construct the P2P system is large
Proposed system

Web server detects flash crowds by monitoring the number of requests and changes the content delivery system when flash crowds occur.

No flash crowds

- Client downloads content from the web server
- Web server stores client’s IP address in the client list
Proposed system

Flash crowds occur

Web server

- Request monitor
- Client manager
- Content provider
- Client list
- Content

- Web server doesn’t provide content to all clients
- Sends a redirect message in order to change the destination address
- Client sends a request to another client that holds content in the cache

Load on the web server is reduced when flash crowds occur because it only redirects requests and it doesn’t provide content to all clients
Monitoring of requests on web server

- 
  - Request rate [Request/s]
  - Monitoring period \( (T) \)
  - Delivery by server
  - Delivery by client

- Request threshold \( (\alpha) \)
  - Web server delivers content to \( \alpha \) clients when flash crowds occur
  - Detects flash crowds and changes the content delivery system

- Time [s]
  - \( \alpha / T \)
  - Delivery by server
Behavior of client list

- First-In First-Out (FIFO) cache: The web server deletes the oldest client on the client list if a new client downloads content.
- When $T$ is 1 s, $\alpha$ is 20 requests, and the capacity of the client list is 100, a client remains on the client list for 5 s.
- A client receives a request from other clients within 5 s.
Behavior of proposed system

- Client A
- Client B
- Client C
- Web server

Request (HTTP GET) → Web server

Redirect (HTTP response code 302) → Request again (HTTP GET)

Web browser

- Caches the web page which the user views
- Automatically transfers the cache by the demand of another client

**Advantage:** Doesn’t need to estimate the size of flash crowds and can handle various sizes of flash crowds

**Disadvantage:** Users have to change their web browsers, but the proposed system can work by add on for existing web browsers
Network composition in experiments

- Requestors
- L2 switch
- 100BASE-TX
- Donors
- Web server
  - CPU: Pentium 4 (3.6 GHz)
  - Memory: 1 Gbyte
Experimental parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate of flash traffic ($R_{\text{flash}}$)</td>
<td>50 or 70 [Requests/s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of web page</td>
<td>230 [kbytes]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request monitoring period (T)</td>
<td>1 [s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request threshold ($\alpha$)</td>
<td>20 [Requests]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Graph showing request rate over time](image-url)
Average download time is short in normal conditions, but it increases greatly when flash crowds occur.

Average download time doubles when the request rate increases 50 to 70.
- CPU utilization increases to 100% when flash crowds occur, and it’s still high after 30 s
- Network utilization isn’t 100 Mbps
- CPU bottleneck causes the increase in the average download time
Average download time is significantly reduced with the proposed system when the request rate is both 50 and 70.
CPU utilization isn’t 100%, and the bottleneck is removed with the proposed system.

CPU utilization increases 10% when the request rate is 70 compared to the case when it’s 50 because the web server needs to redirect 20 extra requests/s.
Conclusion and future work

- Proposed system can reduce the load on the web server by redirecting download requests to other clients
- Implemented application software of the web server and the web browser to evaluate the efficiency
- Results from experiments prove that the proposed system can reduce the download time when flash crowds occur

Future work

- Evaluate under various network conditions