A Design of 0.12ppm/Step Digitally Controlled Crystal Oscillator for PHS Application in 0.25µm CMOS Process

Donghyun Ko¹, Young-Gun Pu¹, Sangwoo Kim¹, Joon-Sung Park¹, Byung-Hak Cho² and Kang-Yoon Lee¹

¹ Dept. of Electronics Engineering, Konkuk University, Korea

1 Hwayang-dong, Kwangjin-gu, Seoul 143-701, Korea

Tel: +82-2-2049-6119, Fax: +82-2-455-1233

² Samsung Electro-Mechanics CO., LTD., Korea

E-mail: ¹ kylee@konkuk.ac.kr

Abstract: This paper presents a integrated Digitally Controlled Crystal Oscillator (DCXO) for PHS application. The frequency tuning is done by two-step MIM capacitor tuning scheme. The coarse tuning covers the frequency range of ± 24 ppm of the nominal frequency with the resolution of 0.75ppm. On the other hand, the fine tuning covers the frequency range of ± 15 ppm of the nominal frequency with the resolution of 0.12ppm. This chip is fabricated with 0.25µm CMOS technology, and the die area is 0.43mm x 0.55mm including PADs.

Keywords : DCXO, tuning range, fine, coarse, PHS

1. Introduction

PHS standards requires the mobile station (handset) to transmit signals with carrier frequency accuracy better than 0.5 ppm compared to the signals received from the base station. This accuracy is far beyond what even the best crystal oscillator can achieve without feedback correction.

PHS standards require 0.5 ppm frequency accuracy. This is achieved through the AFC loop in the digital baseband (DBB) that adjusts the frequency of the crystal oscillator based on the demodulated/decoded reference signals from the base station. The popular implementation, which uses an expensive external VCXO and provides the analog control voltage from the AFC DAC, is not cost and implementation effective [1].

A DCXO, therefore, provides an attractive alternative as it requires only an inexpensive external crystal and the controlled signal can be digitally derived from the DBB. The keys for a successful DCXO design are low phase noise, sub-1ppm of tuning step, and a wide monotonic tuning range to cover the crystal variations. Such a design is presented in this paper.

In an integrated VCXO, the frequency tuning is done by first converting the AFC digital signal to an analog signal, then driving an analog varactor. On the other hand, an integrated DCXO performs the frequency tuning by directly switching on/off the amount of capacitance required. The capacitance versus code transfer function is inherently linear. This linearity is only a weak function of PVT conditions.

In this work, a DCXO with a two-step MIM tuning capacitances is developed with a $0.25\mu m$ CMOS technology.

2. Architecture And Circuits

Figure 1 shows the block diagram of DCXO. It is composed of 19.2MHz Xtal, Negative- G_m circuit, coarse MIM tuning capacitance arrays that is controlled by 6 bits digital control signals (Coarse_Capsel<5:0>), fine MIM tuning capacitance arrays that is controlled by 256 bits digital control signals (Fine_CapSel<25:0>). The Negative-Gm can be controlled by the 2 bits control signals (Cur Sel<1:0>).



Figure 1. Block diagram of DCXO



Figure 2. Equivalent circuit of DCXO.

Equivalent circuit of DCXO can be modeled as RLC networks shown in Figure 2. Equivalent resistance, R_c , and capacitance, C_c , can be represented as Eq. 1 and Eq. 2, respectively.

$$R_{c} = -\frac{g_{m}C_{1}C_{2}}{g_{m}^{2}C_{s}^{2} + \omega^{2}((C_{1} + C_{2})C_{s} + C_{1}C_{2})^{2}}$$
(1)

$$C_{c} = \frac{g_{m}^{2}C_{s}^{2} + \omega^{2}((C_{1} + C_{2})C_{s} + C_{1}C_{2})^{2}}{g_{m}^{2}C_{s} + \omega^{2}(C_{1} + C_{2})((C_{1} + C_{2})C_{s} + C_{1}C_{2})}$$
(2)

We can get the range of g_m based on the equations, Eq. 3 - Eq. 8.

$$Z_{c}(g_{m}) = -\frac{g_{m}C_{1}C_{2}}{(g_{m}C_{s})^{2} + \omega^{2}(C_{1}C_{2} + C_{2}C_{s} + C_{s}C_{1})^{2}}$$
(3)
$$-j\frac{g_{m}^{2}C_{s} + \omega^{2}(C_{1} + C_{2})(C_{1}C_{2} + C_{2}C_{s} + C_{s}C_{1})}{\omega[(g_{m}C_{s})^{2} + \omega^{2}(C_{1}C_{2} + C_{2}C_{s} + C_{s}C_{1})^{2}]}$$



Figure 3. Plot of effective impedance as a function of g_m .

Figure 3 shows the plot of effective impedance as a function of $g_{\rm m}.$

$$g_{m_opt} = \omega \left(C_1 + C_2 + \frac{C_1 C_2}{C_s} \right)$$
(4)

$$g_{m_{crit}} = \frac{\omega C_m}{Q_p^2} \frac{(C_1 + C_2)^2}{4C_1 C_2}$$
(5)

$$Q = \frac{1}{\omega_{\rm w} C_{\rm w} R_{\rm w}} \tag{6}$$

$$\omega_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_m C_m}} \tag{7}$$

$$p = \frac{C_m}{2\left(C_s + \frac{C_1 C_2}{C_1 + C_2}\right)}$$
(8)

$$g_{m_crit} \le g_m \le g_{m_opt}$$
(9)
- R_c ≥ R_m (10)

The DCXO should meet the Eq. 9 and Eq. 10 for the stable oscillation.



Figure 4. Schematic of DCXO.

Figure 4 shows the schematic of DCXO. It is composed of negative g_m , coarse cap array, and varactor arrays.



Figure 5. Schematic of coarse capacitor arrays.

Figure 5 shows the coarse capacitor arrays. It is composed of switches, capacitor, and resistors. The resistor is inserted to prevent the one of the capacitor nodes floating when they are not selected.

Freq.	Cap.	Cm	C1=C2
19.200307E+6	22.903542E-15	22.936375E-15	18.731687E-12
19.200288E+6	22.903588E-15	22.936421E-15	18.684977E-12
19.200269E+6	22.903634E-15	22.936467E-15	18.638401E-12
		Step:	46.576495E-15
Freq.	Cap.	Cm	C1=C2
19.200019E+6	22.904229E-15	22.937064E-15	18.044770E-12
19.20000E+6	22.904275E-15	22.937110E-15	18.000000E-12
19.199981E+6	22.904321E-15	22.937156E-15	17.955355E-12
		Step:	44.645414E-15
Freq.	Cap.	Cm	C1=C2
19.199731E+6	22.904916E-15	22.937753E-15	17.386103E-12
19.199712E+6	22.904962E-15	22.937799E-15	17.343153E-12
19.199693E+6	22.905008E-15	22.937845E-15	17.300321E-12
		Step:	42.831984E-15
		Minimun Step:	42.831984E-15

Table 1 shows the typical values of capacitor when $L_{\rm m}$ is 3.0mH.

3. Experimental Results

Figure 6 shows the chip microphotograph, and the die area is $0.43 \times 0.55 \text{ mm}^2$ including PADs.



Figure 6. Chip microphotograph.

Figure 7 shows the transient simulation result of DCXO. The oscillator output is stable after about $100\mu s$.



Figure 7. Transient simulation result of DCXO.



Figure 8. Measured phase noise of DCXO.

Figure 8 shows the measured phase noise of DCXO. It is about -140dBc/Hz at 1kHz offset.



Figure 9 shows the measured DCXO frequency versus AFC code transfer function. The frequency is measured at the output of VCO to increase the measurement accuracy. The frequency tuning range that can be covered with Fine_Capsel<255:0> is 30ppm with the resolution of 0.12ppm. The frequency tuning range that can be covered with Coarse_Capsel<5:0> is 48ppm with a resolution of 0.75ppm.

Table 2 summarizes the tuning range specification of DCXO for PHS applications, and the designed DCXO can meet the specification.

Frequency tolerance caused by	Range		
Unit variation (at room temperature)	+/- 22ppm		
Temperature variation (-30~80 °C)	+/- 15ppm		
Aging (2ppm/year)	+/- 9ppm		
Total	+/- 46ppm		

Table 2. Tuning Range Specification of DCXO for PHS application

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by "System IC 2010" project of Korea Ministry of Knowledge Economy. This work was also supported by IDEC, Dongbu Electronics $0.18\mu m$ process.

References

- J. (H.-C.) Lin, "A low-phase-noise 0.004 ppm/step DCXO with guaranteed monotonicity in 90 nm CMOS," in IEEE Int. Solid-State Circuits Conf. (ISSCC) Dig. Tech. Papers, San Francisco, CA, 2005, pp. 418–419.
- [2] V. Balan and T. Pan, "A crystal oscillator with automatic amplitude control and digitally controlled pulling range of -100 ppm," in Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Circuits and Systems, vol. 5, Scottsdale, AZ, May 2002, pp. 461–464.
- [3] B. Razavi, RF Microelectronics. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1998.