The Design of Calculable Standard Dipole Antennas in the Frequency Range of 1 - 3 GHz

[#]Ki-Chai Kim¹, Sang-Myeong Kim², Jae-Yong Kwon³, Tae-Weon Kang⁴, Jeong-Hwan Kim⁵

^{1,2} Department of Electrical Engineering, Yeungnam University

214-1 Daedong, Kyeongsan-si, Kyeongbuk 712-749 Korea, <u>kckim@ynu.ac.kr</u>¹, <u>atmo13@ynu.ac.kr</u>², ^{3,4,5} Center for Electromagnetic Wave, Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science (KRISS) 267 Gajeong-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-340 Korea, <u>jykwon@kriss.re.kr</u>³, <u>twkang@kriss.re.kr</u>⁴, <u>kimjh@kriss.re.kr</u>⁵

Abstract

This paper presents the design of a calculable standard dipole antenna with a 3 dB hybrid balun in the frequency range of 1 GHz to 3 GHz. A new formula of an antenna factor is derived by using the power mismatch-loss concept. The antenna factors derived in this paper are in good agreement with the results calculated from S-parameters. The design results show that the calculable dipole antenna with a hybrid balun can be characterized by the power mismatch-loss component factors.

Keywords : Calculable dipole antennas, Antenna factor, Method of moments, Hybrid balun

1. Introduction

Standard dipole antennas are necessary to measure antenna factors and to generate the standard field. The calculable dipole antennas have been developed and used as the standard antennas [1], [2]. The half-wavelength resonance dipole is one of the most basic antennas because the accurate calculation of the antenna characteristics can be done usually by using method of moments (MoM). Extensive works have been carried out in the development of a calculable standard dipole antenna [3],[4].

The previous works dealt with the analysis of a calculable dipole antenna factor by using Sparameters [1]-[4]. In this paper, a new formula of the antenna factor including the power loss component factors for a calculable dipole antenna with a 3 dB 180 degree hybrid balun is derived and the design of a calculable dipole antenna in the frequency range of 1 GHz to 3 GHz is presented. The formulation presented in this paper is derived by using the power mismatch-loss concept [5],[6]. The antenna factors obtained by employing the power mismatch-loss concept are in good agreement with the factors derived by S-parameters [1]. From the results of theoretical analysis presented in this paper, we can readily design the calculable dipole antenna including the power loss components. Calculated input impedances of the dipole antenna are compared with the measured results.

2. Description of the Dipole with a Hybrid Balun

Fig. 1 shows the basic structure of the calculable dipole antenna with a 3 dB hybrid coupler and two phase-matched coaxial lines. The dipole antenna with a length of L and a radius of a is placed along the z-axis. The balun is designed so that its complex S-parameters can be easily measured. Two semi-rigid cables with a length of L_B of the 3 dB hybrid balun are connected to the antenna terminal as shown in Fig. 1. A 50 Ω load is connected to the sum port (Σ) of the hybrid, and a matched measuring instrument is connected to the other port (Δ) via the coaxial cable with a length of L_R . The inner conductors of the two coaxial lines are connected to the balanced dipole elements. The outer conductors of the coaxial cables are in contact with each other electrically, i.e. short-circuited. Since this structure is perfectly symmetrical, the two matched output voltages have the same amplitude and a phase difference of π radian.




Figure 1: The basic structure of the dipole antenna with a 3 dB hybrid balun.




Figure 2: The resonance length of the standard dipole antenna.

3. Antenna Factor Expressions

A plane wave is incident on the calculable dipole antenna as shown in Fig. 1. If h_e is the effective length of a receiving antenna with a hybrid balun, and $Z_a = R_a + jX_a$ is the antenna input impedance, then the power available from the receiving antenna can be expressed as

$$P_L^{ava} = \frac{|E|^2 |h_e|^2}{4R_a} \tag{1}$$

where |E| is the incident electric field.

If V_L is the input voltage to a measuring receiver connected to the antenna, and $Z_L = R_L + j0$ is the input impedance of the receiver, then the power delivered to the measuring receiver can be expressed as

$$P_L = \frac{|V_L|^2}{R_L} \tag{2}$$

Assuming there are only passive devices between the receiving antenna and the measuring receiver, and the cable loss is zero, the total power losses can be represented as follows:

$$\frac{P_L^{ava}}{P_L} = \frac{|E|^2 |h_e|^2 R_L}{4R_a |V_L|^2} = M_A M_B M_C M_D M_E$$
(3)

where

$$M_A = \frac{P_a}{P_{b1}}, \quad M_B = \frac{P_{b1}}{P_b}, \quad M_C = \frac{P_b}{P_{c1}}, \qquad M_D = \frac{P_{c1}}{P_c}, \qquad M_E = \frac{P_c}{P_L}$$
 (4)

In (4), P_a is the power available from the antenna, P_{b1} is the power delivered to the hybrid balun, P_b is the power available from the hybrid balun, P_{c1} is the power delivered to the coaxial cable, P_c is the power available from the coaxial cable, and P_L is the power delivered to the receiver input terminal.

From (3), the desired antenna factor $|E/V_L|$ can be expressed as

$$AF = \sqrt{\frac{4R_a}{|h_e|^2 R_L}} \sqrt{M_A M_B M_C M_D M_E} = AF_0 K$$
(5)

where $AF_0 = \sqrt{4R_a/|h_e|^2R_L}$ is the antenna factor when the receiver is directly connected to the antenna. *K* is the power mismatch-loss factor expressed as $K = \sqrt{M_A M_B M_C M_D M_E}$ and individual power mismatch-loss factors are as follows:

$$M_A = \frac{|Z_{b1} + Z_a|^2}{4R_a R_{b1}}, \qquad M_B = \frac{4R_T R_{b1}}{|Z_{b1} + Z_a|^2} \left| \frac{Z_{11} + Z_a}{Z_{12}} \right|^2, \qquad M_C = \frac{|Z_T + Z_d|^2}{4R_T R_d}$$
(6a)

$$M_D = \frac{4R_t R_d}{|Z_T + Z_d|^2} \left| \left(\frac{Z_0 + Z_T}{Z_0} \right) \frac{1 - \Gamma_S e^{-2\gamma L_R}}{2e^{-\gamma L_R}} \right|^2, \qquad M_E = \frac{|Z_t + R_L|^2}{4R_t R_L}$$
(6b)

where Z_T is the Thevenin's equivalent impedance seen from the output terminal of the hybrid balun into the antenna and Z_t is the Thevenin's equivalent impedance seen from the output terminal of the coaxial cable into the antenna as shown in Fig. 1. Also, Z_{b1} is the input impedance seen from the input terminal of the hybrid balun into the receiver and Z_d is the impedance of the coaxial cable seen from the output terminal of the hybrid balun into the receiver. L_R is the length of the coaxial cable, and $\gamma = \alpha + j\beta$, where α and β are the attenuation coefficient and phase constant of the coaxial cable, respectively.

In this paper, we also derive the antenna factor by using the scattering matrix (S-parameters), which is used earlier by NPL [1]. The antenna factor using S-parameters is expressed as

$$AF = \frac{(Z_a + Z_0)}{Z_0 h_e} \frac{[(1 - T_{11} \Gamma_A)(1 - T_{22} \Gamma_L) - T_{12} T_{21} \Gamma_A \Gamma_L]}{(1 + \Gamma_R) T_{21}}$$
(7)

where T_{ij} are the S-parameters of the hybrid balun and the coaxial cable used for connection to the measuring receiver. The cascading matrix [T] of the composite 2-port is expressed as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} N_{11} & N_{12} \\ N_{21} & N_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} \\ L_{21} & L_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

where N_{ij} and L_{ij} are the S-parameters of the hybrid balun and the coaxial cable, respectively, as shown in Fig. 1, and N_{11} , N_{21} are normalized to 100 Ω , and N_{12} , N_{22} are normalized to 50 Ω .

 Z_0 is the characteristic impedance of the coaxial cable, Γ_A and Γ_L are the reflection coefficients of the antenna and the measuring receiver, respectively. Since $Z_0/(Z_a+Z_0) = (1 - \Gamma_a)/2$, the antenna factor of (7) is identical with the antenna factor expression in [1]. The calculated results confirm that the antenna factor of (5) derived by power mismatch-loss concept gives the same result as the antenna factor of (7) derived from S-parameters.

4. The Design of Standard Dipole Antennas

In the design of the standard dipole antenna, a thin wire kernel approximation with a segment length of 0.0125λ is used for the piecewise sinusoidal Galerkin's MoM analysis. The dipole radius was chosen to be less than 0.0075λ (thin wire approximation) and the nominal value of 50 Ω is used for the characteristic impedance Z_0 . The coaxial cable with a length of 10 m is selected in the following numerical calculations.

Fig. 2 shows the frequency characteristics of the resonance length with respect to the dipole radius. As shown in Fig. 2, the resonance length of the dipole antenna almost linearly decreases with the frequency increases, but it increases gradually above 2.5 GHz when a = 0.75 mm.

Fig. 3 shows the frequency characteristics of the antenna input resistance at resonance length for the dipole radius. As shown in Fig. 3, the input resistance of the antenna increases with the frequency. At the frequencies below 2 GHz, the input resistance of the antenna has very little variation less than 1.9 %. The maximum variation of the input resistance is 5.7 % at 3 GHz.




Figure 3: The input resistance of the standard dipole antenna at resonance.

Table	1:	Calculated	and	measured	antenna
input i	mp	edances			

F The F The second							
Radius	Calculate	*) Measured					
a/λ	MoM	EMF	<i>Ζ_{in}</i> (Ω)				
2.98	93.44	73.13	91.21				
x 10E-3	+j 4.86	+j 1.42	+ j 43.26				
3.97	97.18	73.12	96.42				
x 10E-3	+j 43.58	+j 41.05	+ j 43.54				

^{*)} R. W. P. King, "Tables of antenna characteristics", Plenum Press, 1971.

To check the validity of the theoretical analysis for the dipole antenna design, the antenna input impedances were compared with those of experiments as shown in Table 1. In Table 1, also shown are the input impedances calculated by an induced electromotive force (EMF) method. The results show that the MoM results are in better agreement with the experiments than EMF results.

Table 2 shows the theoretical antenna factor in the frequency range from 1 GHz to 3 GHz. In Table 2, the power mismatch-loss factors, *K*, are also shown for comparison with the desired antenna factor *AF*. As can be seen in Table 2, $\sqrt{M_A}$, $\sqrt{M_B}$, $\sqrt{M_C}$, $\sqrt{M_D}$, and $\sqrt{M_E}$ have the same value except for the sign. The mismatch-loss factor, $\sqrt{M_E}$, is only contributing to the desired antenna factor as shown in Table 2, i.e., the amount of $AF - AF_0$ in dB is equal to the $\sqrt{M_E}$ which is the mismatch-loss factor of the coaxial cable and the measuring receiver. The results show that the frequency characteristics of the theoretical antenna factor with respect to the value of the dipole radius are overlapped for the three dipole radii of 0.5 mm, 0.6 mm, and 0.75 mm. The differences between the antenna factors, *AF* and *AF*₀, are in within 0.11 dB.

				Mismatch-Loss Factor				Antenna Factor		
f	а	Lo	Zin	<i>K</i> (dB)				(dB)		
(GHz)	(mm)	(cm)	(Ω)	$\sqrt{M_A}$	$\sqrt{M_B}$	$\sqrt{M_C}$	$\sqrt{M_D}$	$\sqrt{M_E}$	AF ₀	AF
1.0	0.75	14.10	72.53	0.111	-0.111	0.111	-0.111	0.111	28.09	28.20
1.5	0.75	9.343	73.22	0.105	-0.105	0.105	-0.105	0.105	31.61	31.71
2.0	0.75	6.979	74.30	0.095	-0.095	0.095	-0.095	0.095	34.10	34.20
2.5	0.75	5.573	76.00	0.082	-0.082	0.082	-0.082	0.082	36.04	36.12
3.0	0.75	4.651	78.79	0.062	-0.062	0.062	-0.062	0.062	37.62	37.68

Table 2: Calculated antenna factors by the MoM

5. Conclusions

A new formula of an antenna factor is derived using the power mismatch-loss concept and the design of the standard dipole antenna with a hybrid balun in the frequency range of 1 GHz to 3 GHz has been presented. The numerical results show that the antenna factor of the calculable dipole antenna including the power loss component factors can be characterized by the power mismatch-loss concept and the MoM analysis.

References

- M. J. Salter and M. J. Alexander, "EMC antenna calibration and the design of an open-field site," J. Phys. E, Meas. Technol., vol. 2, pp. 510 – 519, 1991.
- [2] M. J. Salter and M. J. Alexander, "Low measurement uncertainties in the frequency range 30 MHz to 1 GHz using a calculable standard dipole antenna and national reference ground plane, " IEE Proc. Sci. Meas. Technol., vol. 143, no. 4, pp. 221–228, July 1996.
- [3] M. J. Alexander, M. J. Salter, B. Loader, and D. Knight, "Broadband calculable dipole reference antennas," IEEE Trans. Electromag. Compat., vol.EMC-44, no.1, pp. 45–58, Feb. 2002.
- [4] T. Morioka, "Uncertainty of free-space dipole antenna factor from 1 GHz to 2 GHz," IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas., vol. IM-58, no. 4, pp. 1135–1140, April 2009.
- [5] W. S. Bennett, "Properly applied antenna factors," IEEE Trans. Electromagn. Compat., vol. EMC-28, no.1, pp. 2–6, Feb. 1986.
- [6] K. C. Kim and T. Iwasaki, "Complex antenna factors of resistor loaded dipole antennas with coaxial cable Balun," IEICE Trans. Commun., vol. E89-B, no. 4, pp. 1467–1471, April 2006.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the KRISS/University cooperative research program in 2011.