

# Numerical Verification of Optimum Point in Linear Programming

Shin'ichi Oishi<sup>†,‡</sup> and Kunio Tanabe<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Engineering,  
 Waseda University,  
 3-4-1 Okubo, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169-8555 Japan  
<sup>‡</sup>CREST, JST

Email: oishi@waseda.jp, tanabe.kunio@waseda.jp

**Abstract**—In this paper, we are concerned with the following linear programming problem:

$$\text{Maximize } c^t x, \text{ subject to } Ax \leq b \text{ and } x \geq 0,$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{F}^m$  and  $c, x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . Here,  $\mathbb{F}$  is a set of floating point numbers.

The aim of this paper is to propose a numerical method of including an optimum point provided that a good approximation of an optimum point is given.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the following linear programming problem:

$$\text{Maximize } c^t x, \text{ subject to } Ax \leq b \text{ and } x \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{F}^m$  and  $c, x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . Here,  $\mathbb{F}$  is a set of floating point numbers. A dual problem for (1) is given by

$$\text{Minimize } b^t y, \text{ subject to } A^t y \geq c \text{ and } y \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

where  $y \in \mathbb{F}^m$ .

The aim of this paper is to propose a numerical method of including an optimum point of (1) provided that a good approximation of an optimum point is given.

## 2. Verification Method

In this paper, for two vectors  $u, v$  with the same dimension,  $uv$  and  $u/v$  denote the vectors of the same dimension with components  $u_i v_i$  and  $u_i/v_i$ , respectively. Then, (1) and (2) are equivalent to the following complementarity problem

$$f(z) = \begin{pmatrix} x(A^t y - c) \\ y(b - Ax) \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (3)$$

subject to

$$x \geq 0, y \geq 0, b - Ax \geq 0 \text{ and } A^t y - c \geq 0, \quad (4)$$

where  $z = (x^t, y^t)^t$ . The centered path of (3) is defined by

$$f(z) = \begin{pmatrix} x(A^t y - c) \\ y(b - Ax) \end{pmatrix} = \gamma e, \quad (5)$$

where  $e \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$  with all elements being 1. The constant  $\gamma$  is defined by

$$\gamma = \frac{\|f(z)\|_1}{m+n} = \frac{(b^t y - c^t x)}{m+n}. \quad (6)$$

Namely,  $\gamma$  is the duality gap of the problem if  $z$  is a feasible point.

The Fréchet derivative  $f'(z)$  is given by

$$f'(z) = \begin{pmatrix} [A^t y - c] & [x]A^t \\ -[y]A & [b - Ax] \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where for a vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^t$ ,  $[x]$  denotes  $\text{diag}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . At a given approximate optimum point  $z$ , the Newton direction  $d_n$  and the centered direction  $d_c$  are defined by

$$f'(z)d_n = - \begin{pmatrix} x(A^t y - c) \\ y(b - Ax) \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

and

$$f'(z)d_c = - \begin{pmatrix} x(A^t y - c) \\ y(b - Ax) \end{pmatrix} + \gamma e, \quad (9)$$

respectively.

In the method we shall propose, first a feasible point of (3) is searched for a searching direction, which is a linear combination of  $d_n$  and  $d_c$ , based on the guiding cone method or the penalized norm method [1]. Here, we assume that we can find an interior point  $z$ , which is a good approximation of an optimum point. Then, the second step of the method is to check conditions of the following theorem at the point  $z$ :

**Theorem 1** *Let  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$  be an interior point, namely a point satisfying (4) with inequality condition. Let further constants  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$  be defined*

by the inequalities  $\alpha \geq \|f'(z)^{-1}\|_\infty \|f(z)\|_\infty$  and  $\omega \geq 2(\|A\|_\infty + \|A\|_1)\|f'(z)^{-1}\|_\infty$ , respectively. If

$$\alpha\omega \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad (10)$$

there exists an optimal point  $z^* = (x^{*t}, y^{*t})^t \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ , i.e., a point satisfying (3) and (4), enjoying

$$\|z^* - z\|_\infty \leq \rho. \quad (11)$$

Here

$$\rho = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 3\alpha\omega}}{\omega}. \quad (12)$$

Before entering proof of Theorem 1, we note that the half assertion of Theorem 1 can be derived from the following Kantorovich theorem for the Newton method:

**Theorem 2 (Kantorovich's Theorem)** Let  $f$  be defined on a ball  $B(z, \hat{\rho}) = \{\|z' - z\|_\infty \leq \hat{\rho}\}$  with  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$  and  $\hat{\rho} > 0$ . Let further  $f'(z)$  be nonsingular and enjoying

$$\alpha' \geq \|f'(z)^{-1}f(z)\|_\infty \quad (13)$$

for a certain positive  $\alpha'$ . Furthermore we assume that  $f$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f'(z)^{-1}(f'(z') - f'(z''))\|_\infty \\ & \leq \omega' \|z' - z''\|_\infty \text{ for } z', z'' \in B(z, \hat{\rho}) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

with a certain positive constant  $\omega'$ . If

$$\alpha'\omega' \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (15)$$

and

$$\rho' = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha'\omega'}}{\omega'} \leq \hat{\rho}. \quad (16)$$

there exists a point  $z^* = (x^{*t}, y^{*t})^t \in B(z, \rho')$  satisfying (3). The solution  $z^*$  of (3) is unique in  $B(z, \rho')$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1** First, we note that  $f$  is defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ . If we put  $\alpha' = 1.5\alpha$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f'(z)^{-1}f(z)\|_\infty & \leq \|f'(z)^{-1}\|_\infty \|f(z)\|_\infty \\ & \leq \alpha \\ & < \alpha'. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Then, it is further noted that

$$\begin{aligned} & f'(z') - f'(z'') \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} [A^t y' - c] & [x'] A^t \\ -[y'] A & [b - Ax'] \end{pmatrix} \\ & \quad - \begin{pmatrix} [A^t y'' - c] & [x''] A^t \\ -[y''] A & [b - Ax''] \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} [A^t(y' - y'')] & [x' - x''] A^t \\ -[y' - y''] A & [b - A(x' - x'')] \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

It follows from this

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f'(z') - f'(z'')\|_\infty \\ & = \|A^t(y' - y'')\|_\infty + \|(x' - x'')A^t\|_\infty \\ & \quad + \|[y' - y'']A\|_\infty + \|A[x' - x'']\|_\infty \\ & = \|A^t\|_\infty(\|x' - x''\|_\infty + \|y' - y''\|_\infty) \\ & \quad + \|A\|_\infty(\|x' - x''\|_\infty + \|y' - y''\|_\infty) \\ & \leq 2(\|A\|_\infty + \|A\|_1)\|z' - z''\|_\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Hence, we can use  $\omega$  in Theorem 1 as  $\omega'$  in Theorem 2 and

$$\alpha'\omega' = 1.5\alpha\omega \leq 3/8 < 1/2 \quad (20)$$

holds. Furthermore,  $\rho'$  coincides with  $\rho$ . Thus, from the Kantorovich theorem (Theorem 2) it is seen that there exists a solution  $z^* = (x^{*t}, y^{*t})^t \in B = \{z' \mid \|z' - z\|_\infty \leq \rho\}$  satisfying (3). Further, the Kantorovich theorem states that  $z^*$  is unique solution of (3) in the closed ball  $B$ .

Next, we show that  $z^*$  is feasible, i.e., it satisfies the inequality conditions (4). Let us consider a solution curve of the following continuous Newton method starting from a given feasible point  $z$ :

$$\frac{dz(t)}{dt} = -f'(z(t))^{-1}f(z(t)) \text{ with } z(0) = z. \quad (21)$$

The fundamental existence theorem for differential equations states that the solution curve  $z(t)$  exists for  $t \in [0, M)$  for a certain positive constant  $M$ .

Suppose  $T \leq M$  be the smallest value of  $T$  such that  $z(T)$  is on the boundary of the ball  $B$ . Then

$$\|z - z(T)\|_\infty \leq \int_0^T \left\| \frac{dz(t)}{dt} \right\|_\infty dt < k \|f(z)\|_\infty. \quad (22)$$

Here,  $k$  is defined by

$$k = \max_{z' \in B} \|f'(z')^{-1}\|_\infty. \quad (23)$$

This result is derived in [2]. In fact,  $z(t)$  satisfies

$$\frac{df(z(t))}{dt} = -f(z(t)) \text{ with } z(0) = z. \quad (24)$$

Thus,  $f(z(t)) = f(z)e^{-t}$  holds. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{dz(t)}{dt} \right\|_\infty & \leq \|f'(z(t))^{-1}\|_\infty \|f(z(t))\|_\infty \\ & \leq k \|f(z)\|_\infty e^{-t}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left\| \frac{dz(t)}{dt} \right\|_\infty dt & \leq k \|f(z)\|_\infty (1 - e^{-T}) \\ & < k \|f(z)\|_\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Furthermore,  $f(z(t)) = f(z)e^{-t}$  implies  $z(t)$  starting with an interior point remains to be an interior point for  $t \in [0, M)$ .

We note that for  $z' \in B$

$$\|f'(z)^{-1}(f'(z) - f'(z'))\|_\infty \leq \omega \|z - z'\|_\infty \quad (27)$$

holds. We note also that (10) implies

$$\omega\rho < 1. \quad (28)$$

Therefore, from (27), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} k &= \max_{z' \in B} \|f'(z')^{-1}\|_\infty \\ &\leq \max_{z' \in B} \frac{\|f'(z)^{-1}\|_\infty}{1 - \|I - f'(z)^{-1}f'(z')\|_\infty} \\ &= \max_{z' \in B} \frac{\|f'(z)^{-1}\|_\infty}{1 - \|f'(z)^{-1}(f'(z) - f'(z'))\|_\infty} \\ &\leq \max_{z' \in B} \frac{\|f'(z)^{-1}\|_\infty}{1 - \omega \|z - z'\|_\infty} \\ &\leq \frac{\|f'(z)^{-1}\|_\infty}{1 - \omega\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k\|f(z)\|_\infty &\leq \frac{\|f'(z)^{-1}\|_\infty \|f(z)\|_\infty}{1 - \omega\rho} \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{1 - \omega\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Then, we show that

$$\frac{\alpha}{1 - \omega\rho} \leq \rho \quad (31)$$

holds. In fact, to prove (31) it is enough to show

$$\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1 - 3\alpha\omega}} \leq \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 3\alpha\omega}}{\omega} \quad (32)$$

which is equivalent to

$$1 - 2\alpha\omega \leq \sqrt{1 - 3\alpha\omega}. \quad (33)$$

This is further equivalent to

$$4\alpha\omega \leq 1 \quad (34)$$

which is now obvious because  $\alpha\omega \leq 1/4$ . Thus (31) is shown.

The inequalities (22), (30) and (31) imply

$$\|z - z(T)\|_\infty < \rho \quad (35)$$

which contradicts the fact that  $z(T)$  is on the boundary of  $B$ . Therefore, there exists no such  $T$  and the solution curve is contained in the interior of the ball  $B$ . There is no singularity of the right hand side of (21) in  $B$ . By the elementary theory of differential equation, the solution can be prolonged to the interval  $[0, \infty)$ , *i.e.*,  $M = \infty$  and it converges to  $z^*$  as  $t$  tends to  $\infty$ . In fact, let  $z^{**}$  be a point in the limit set, which is contained in

$B$ , of the solution curve. Then  $z^{**}$  is a solution of (3). By the uniqueness of the solution of (3) in  $B$ , it is identical to  $z^*$ . Therefore, the solution curve converges to  $z^*$  as  $t$  tends to  $\infty$ .

Since the solution curve is contained in the feasible set, the limit point  $z^*$  is also a feasible point.

**(QED)**

### 3. Numerical Example

In this section, let us consider the following simple linear programming problem:

$$\text{Maximize } c^t x, \text{ subject to } Ax \leq b \text{ and } x \geq 0, \quad (36)$$

where  $c^t = (300, 300, 500)$ ,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 150 & 100 & 100 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 150 \end{pmatrix} \quad (37)$$

and  $b^t = (3000, 40, 1200)$ . In this case, we have a feasible solution

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \begin{pmatrix} 5.9999999999999973 \\ 13.000000000000004 \\ 8.000000000000000 \end{pmatrix}, \\ y &= \begin{pmatrix} 1.5000000000000000 \\ 75.00000000000000 \\ 1.8333333333333335 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

For this feasible point, we have

$$\alpha\omega < 1.64 \times 10^{-11}. \quad (39)$$

Thus, there exists an optimum solution of (36) in the ball centered at  $z = (x^t, y^t)^t$  with a radius

$$\rho = 1.45 \times 10^{-13}. \quad (40)$$

The following is a program of executing verified computation. We have used Scilab on Windows XP with the core two duo Intel processor.

```
format('e',23);
init_round();
c=[300;300;500];b=[3000;40;1200];
A=[150,100,100;1,2,1;0,0,150];
[x,y,h,rho,d,p,pl,d1]=vlinpro(c,A,b)
```

Here, we have used the following function:

```
function [x,y,h,rho,t_d,t_p,pl,d1]=
vlinpro(c,A,b)
// Maximize c^tx, subject to Ax<=b and x>=0
mc=-c;[m,n]=size(A);
q=zeros(n,1);qq=zeros(m,1);
[x,l,f]=linpro(mc,A,b,q,[]);
[y,ly,fy]=linpro(b,-A',-c,qq,[]);
F=[diag(A'*y-c),diag(x)*A'];
```

```

        diag(-y)*A,diag(b-A*x]);
r_z=-[x.*(A*(y)-c);y.*(b-A*x)];
d_n=F\r_z;
ga=1e-14;d_c=F\r_z+ga*ones(m+n,1);
d=(d_n+d_c)/2;x=x+d(1:n);y=y+d(n+1:m+n);
R=inv(F);
down();
bmAx_l=b-A*x;Aymc_l=A*(y)-c;
dxA_l=diag(x)*A';dyA_l=diag(-y)*A;
up();
bmAx_u=b-A*x;Aymc_u=A*(y)-c;
dxA_u=diag(x)*A';dyA_u=diag(-y)*A;
bmAx_c=(bmAx_l+bmAx_u)/2;
Aymc_c=(Aymc_l+Aymc_u)/2;
bmAx_r=bmAx_c-bmAx_l;
Aymc_r=Aymc_c-Aymc_l;
down();
r1_l=x.*Aymc_c-abs(x).*Aymc_r;
r2_l=(-y).*bmAx_c-abs(-y).*bmAx_r;
up();
r1_u=x.*Aymc_c+abs(x).*Aymc_r;
r2_u=(y).*bmAx_c+abs(y).*bmAx_r;
near();
r=[max(abs(r1_l),abs(r1_u));
    max(abs(r2_l),abs(r2_u))];
F_l=[diag(Aymc_l),dxA_l;dyA_l,diag(bmAx_l)];
F_u=[diag(Aymc_u),dxA_u;dyA_u,diag(bmAx_u)];
up();
F_c=(F_l+F_u)/2;F_r=F_c-F_l;
RFmI_u=R*F_c+abs(R)*F_r-eye(m+n,m+n);
down();
RFmI_l=R*F_c-abs(R)*F_r-eye(m+n,m+n);
up();
FF=max(abs(RFmI_l),abs(RFmI_u));
RFmI=norm(FF,'inf');
down();
d=1-RFmI;
up();
niF=norm(R,'inf')/d;nr=norm(r,'inf');
alpha=niF*nr;
omega=2*(norm(A,'inf')+norm(A,1))*niF;
h=alpha*omega;rho=(1-sqrt(1-3*h))/omega;
t_d=b'*y;
down();
t_p=c'*x;pl=b-A*x;dl=A'*y-c;
near();

```

Moreover, rounding modes of double precision floating point numbers are changed by the following functions:

```

function init_round()
    link('up.dll','up','C');
    link('down.dll','down','C');
    link('near.dll','nearest','C');
function up()
    call('up');
function down()

```

```

    call('down');
function near()
    call('nearest');

```

Here, the source code of making the near.dll is give by

```

#include <float.h>
unsigned int _controlfp(unsigned int new,
                        unsigned int mask);
void nearest(void) {
    _controlfp(_RC_NEAR,_MCW_RC);
}

```

The source codes for up.dll and down.ll are obtained by replacing the word `_RC_NEAR` by `_RC_UP` and `_RC_DOWN`, respectively.

The following is the result of execution:

```

dl =
    0.0000000000000000D+00
    0.0000000000000000D+00
    0.0000000000000000D+00
pl =
    4.5474735088646412D-13
    0.0000000000000000D+00
    0.0000000000000000D+00
P =
    9.7000000000000000D+03
d =
    9.70000000000000018D+03
rho =
    1.4429449920498498D-13
h =
    1.6306549360861618D-11
y =
    1.5000000000000000D+00
    7.5000000000000000D+01
    1.8333333333333335D+00
x =
    5.9999999999999973D+00
    1.3000000000000004D+01
    8.0000000000000000D+00

```

## References

- [1] Kunio Tanabe, "Complementarity-Enforcing Centered Newton Method for Mathematical Programming": Global Method, The Institute of Statistical Mathematics Cooperative Research Report 5, New Methods for Linear Programming, (1987) pp.118-144.
- [2] Kunio Tanabe, "Continuous Newton-Raphson Method for Solving an Underdetermined System of Nonlinear Equations", Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Method and Applications, Vol. 3 (1979) pp.495-503.