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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider a method of numerical bifurcation analysis of nonlinear switched autonomous systems. Its development is motivated by several factors: linear systems can be studied through analytical approaches; smooth and non-autonomous systems can be studied using a standard periodical Poincaré map. Our goal is to develop a method as general as possible and implement it as a computer-based tool. The main evolution we will describe this time is the possible treatment of variable switching conditions.


## 1. Introduction

Switched systems exist in many domains such as mechanics or electronics. The solution function is usually continuous but presents points of non-derivability where discrete changes occur. Some of the most widely studied applications are related to electrical engineering, such as power converters investigated as piecewise smooth systems by di Bernardo [1], Tse [2] or Banerjee [3][4]; or some PLL models as introduced by Acco [5], referring to this type of model as "hybrid sequential." Little work has been done in the analysis of nonlinear piecewise smooth models, apart from Kawakami, Ueta and Kousaka [7][8]. As for hybrid linear models, they can be analyzed using rigorous analytical methods as shown by Kabe [6]. However the nonlinear property of many systems reserve this option for approximated models only. Proper treatment of nonlinearity requires numerical methods.

We review the analysis method based on a Poincaré map and introduce some modifications and improvements. Kousaka [7] introduced the steps to compute bifurcation sets of nonsmooth dynamical systems by solving a pure initial value problem (using an ODE solver only). On the other hand, in order to bring the equation sets of the model to an acceptable level of complexity, many assumptions were made, particularly on the Poincaré sections (perpendicular or parallel to the local coordinates), as in the analysis of the 3-state Alpazur oscillator [9] for instance. In order to generalize our method, we introduced two main evo-
lutions. The first one is a numerical differentiation which lifts the necessity of expressing complex second derivatives. The second one is a generalization of the expression of the Poincaré section, which can be now represented as any smooth surface in the local referential. Such simplifications naturally ease the analysis process of systems with many discrete states. We consider the analysis results of the Alpazur oscillator with variable switching conditions. Our method here proves capable of handling switching conditions defined by non-trivial equations of multiple state variables.

## 2. Principles

### 2.1. Modeling the System

Let us consider a system written by a set of differential equations defined by smooth functions piecewisely, i.e., for the state $i$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d X}{d t}=f_{i}(X), \quad i=1, \cdots m \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
X(t)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{0}  \tag{2}\\
\vdots \\
x_{n-1}
\end{array}\right) \in R^{n}
$$

Within each state there is a solution function such as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t)=\varphi_{i}\left(t, X_{i}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad X(0)=X_{i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{i}$ is the initial value of state $i$.
Now, based on the switching rules and the definition of a period of our system, we set the Poincaré map, placing its sections at the switching points. We assume for now that the switching conditions for each state can be expressed as a function of the system variables, e.g., for the state $i$ : $q_{i}(X)=0$.
The map is therefore expressed as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{i}=\{ & \left.X_{i} \in R^{n} \mid q_{i}=0\right\} \\
T_{i}: & \Pi_{i} \rightarrow \Pi_{i+1}  \tag{4}\\
& X_{i} \mapsto X_{i+1}=\varphi_{i}\left(\tau_{i}, X_{i}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We are now able to perform a local analysis over each partial orbit delimited by those Poincaré sections. Thus the Poincaré mapping is defined as a differentiable map:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T_{m} \circ \cdots \circ T_{1} \circ T_{0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We finally apply a projection from $R^{n}$ to $R^{n-1}$ due to the equation of the final state switch condition $q_{m-1}=0$ as seen in [7]:

$$
\begin{align*}
p: & \Pi_{0} \rightarrow \Sigma_{0}  \tag{6}\\
& X_{0} \mapsto U_{0}
\end{align*} \quad \text { with } \quad T_{l}=p^{-1} \circ T \circ p
$$

which we use as a discrete definition of our system Fig.1.


Figure 1: Abstract representation of the Poincaré map

### 2.2. Analysis approach

In order to compute phase portraits for a given system, we simply integrate the differential equations. The switching conditions previously defined are constantly verified in order to use the variational equations matching the current state. A proper adaptive stepsize Runge Kutta integration gave us good performance along with precious control of the numerical error.
For the computation of fixed points, we integrate the partial derivatives of the differential equations in parallel of the solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial X_{i-1}}=\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial X} \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial X_{i-1}} \quad \text { where } \quad \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial X_{i-1}}(0)=I \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity matrix. We compute the Jacobian matrix of each local map:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial X_{i}}{\partial X_{i-1}}=\frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial X_{i-1}}+\frac{d X_{i}}{d t} \frac{\partial \tau_{i}}{\partial X_{i-1}}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression of $\frac{\partial \tau_{i}}{\partial X_{i-1}}$ depends on the switching condition, so its expression takes into account the following terms and functions: $\frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial X_{i-1}}, q_{i}(X)$, and $f_{i}(X)$. Next, we can express the Poincaré map:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial X_{m}}{\partial X_{0}}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial X_{i}}{\partial X_{i-1}} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then apply the projection $p$ and obtain the Jacobian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D T_{l}\left(U_{0}\right)=\frac{\partial U_{m}}{\partial U_{0}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a fixed point we solve:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{l}\left(U_{0}\right)=U_{m}=U_{0} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the approximation of the tangent to the solution $D T_{l}$ in the Newton method in order to compute an accurate value of $U_{0}$. If our initial value is in the domain of convergence, we can compute an accurate solution of the fixed point.
Finally, concerning the critical values required to compute bifurcation sets, we use the characteristic equation of our system in order to determine an extra constraint. Depending on the desired bifurcation diagram, we choose $\lambda$, one of the parameters from the diagram space, as an extra degree of freedom to compute a solution of our new equations set:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{l}(\mu)=\operatorname{det}\left(D T_{l}-\mu I_{n-1}\right)=0, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{n-1}$ is thye identity matrix. $\mu$ is defined by the bifurcation type. So our problem can be written:

$$
F\left(U_{0}, \lambda\right)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
U_{m}-U_{0}  \tag{13}\\
\chi_{l}(\mu)
\end{array}\right]=0 .
$$

Which means we are to compute the following Jacobian matrix of Eq. (13):

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial U_{m}}{\partial U_{0}}-I_{n-1} & \frac{\partial U_{m}}{\partial \lambda}  \tag{14}\\
\frac{\partial D T_{l}}{\partial U_{0}} & \frac{\partial D T_{l}}{\partial \lambda}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The second row of Eq. (14) requires the second derivatives of the solutions of variational Eq. (7). Computing a number of second derivatives can be done two ways: one based on an analytical approach, consisting in simply deriving once more the first derivative elements; the second one is numerical since it consists in approaching the tangent by differentiation, performing a multiple integration using shifted input variables.

## 3. Variably Switching Alpazur Oscillator

### 3.1. Model description

We use the Alpazur oscillator [8] with modified switching conditions.
The continuous variable is represented by: $X=\binom{x}{y}$
According to the position of the switch, we have, for each state $(i=\{1,2\}$ ), the following differential equations:
$f_{i}(X)=\binom{f_{i}(x, y)}{g_{i}(x, y)}$


Figure 2: Electronic implementation of the Alpazur osc.

For state $i$ : (terminal $\mathrm{a}(i=1)$ or $\mathrm{b}(i=2)$ in SW )

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d x}{d t}=f_{i}(x, y)=-r x-y  \tag{15}\\
\frac{d y}{d t}=g_{i}(x, y)=x+\left(1-A_{i}\right) y-\frac{1}{3} y^{3}+B_{i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $x$ and $y$ are normalized variables corresponding to the current $i$ and voltage $v$ (detailed in [8]); and where $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ are real numbers.
In order to determine the discrete behavior, we establish the following switching rules:

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{1}(x, y)=y+1.0-0.2 \sin x \\
& q_{2}(x, y)=y+0.1-0.2 x^{2}, \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Such switching conditions are indeed very unlikely, but they demonstrate the efficiency of the method even for complex switching cases. This system exhibits chaotic orbits at particular parameter values such as the following set:

$$
r=0.1 \quad A_{1}=0.2 \quad A_{2}=2.0 \quad B_{1}=-0.2 \quad B_{2}=1.0
$$



Figure 3: Sample phase portrait of chaotic behavior
We define the map:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
T=T_{1} \circ T_{0}
\end{array} \quad: \quad \begin{array}{lll}
\Pi_{0} & \rightarrow & \Pi_{0}  \tag{17}\\
& x_{0} & \mapsto
\end{array} x_{2}=\varphi\left(\tau, x_{0}\right) .
$$

### 3.2. Fixed points

As previously shown, the problem of Fixed points is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-x_{0}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to achieve the appropriate correction, we need to compute:

$$
\begin{align*}
D T_{l} & =\frac{\partial x_{2}}{\partial x_{0}} \\
& =\frac{\partial x_{2}}{\partial X_{1}} \frac{\partial X_{1}}{\partial X_{0}} \frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial x_{0}} \\
& =\frac{\partial x_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial X_{0}} \frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial x_{0}}+\frac{\partial x_{2}}{\partial y_{1}} \frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial X_{0}} \frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial x_{0}} \\
& =\frac{\partial x_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial x_{0}}+\frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial y_{0}} \frac{\partial y_{0}}{\partial x_{0}}\right)+\frac{\partial x_{2}}{\partial y_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{0}}+\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial y_{0}} \frac{\partial y_{0}}{\partial x_{0}}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

For each State $i$ we compute:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial x_{i-1}} & =\frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial x_{i-1}}+f_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \frac{\partial \tau_{i}}{\partial x_{i-1}} \\
\frac{\partial \tau_{i}}{\partial x_{i-1}} & =-\frac{\frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial x_{i-1}} q_{i y}-\frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x_{i-1}} q_{i x}}{f_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) q_{i y}-g_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) q_{i x}} \\
\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial y_{i-1}} & =\frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial y_{i-1}}+f_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \frac{\partial \tau_{i}}{\partial y_{i-1}} \\
\frac{\partial \tau_{i}}{\partial y_{i-1}} & =-\frac{\frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial y_{i-1}} q_{i y}-\frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y_{i-1}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) q_{i y}-g_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) q_{i x}}}{}
\end{array},\right. \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\partial y_{i} / \partial x_{i}=\partial y_{i} /\left.\partial x\right|_{q_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)=0}$ and $x=x_{i} ; q_{i x}$ and $q_{i y}$ are the components of a vector tangent to the switching curve at $X_{i}$.
Then there are two ways of calculating $\partial y_{i} / \partial x_{i-1}$ and $\partial y_{i} / \partial y_{i-1}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial x_{i-1}} & =\frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x_{i-1}}+g_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \frac{\partial \tau_{i}}{\partial x_{i-1}}  \tag{22}\\
& =\frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial x_{i-1}} \\
\frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial y_{i-1}} & =\frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y_{i-1}}+g_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \frac{\partial \tau_{i}}{\partial y_{i-1}} .  \tag{23}\\
& =\frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial y_{i-1}}
\end{align*}
$$

We numerically integrate the required elements:
\(\frac{d}{d t}\left[$$
\begin{array}{c}x_{i} \\
y_{i}\end{array}
$$\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}f_{i}(x, y) <br>

g_{i}(x, y)\end{array}\right]\)| State 1: from $x_{0}$ |
| :--- |
| State 2: from $x_{1}$ |
| $\frac{d}{d t}\left[\begin{array}{lll}\frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial x_{i-1}} & \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial y_{i-1}} \\ \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x_{i-1}} & \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y_{i-1}}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial y}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}\frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial x_{i-1}} & \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial y_{i-1}} \\ \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x_{i-1}} & \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y_{i-1}}\end{array}\right]$ |
| where $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial x_{i-1}} & \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial y_{i-1}} \\ \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x_{i-1}} & \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y_{i-1}}\end{array}\right](0)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$. |.

We now use the Newton method to compute the correction to be applied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}^{\prime}=x_{0}-\frac{x_{2}-x_{0}}{\frac{\partial x_{2}}{\partial x_{0}}-1} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4: Bifurcation diagram in the $B_{1} / B_{2}$ parameter plan

### 3.3. Bifurcations

The characteristic equation is fairly simple:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(\mu)=\operatorname{det}\left(D T_{l}-\mu\right)=0, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence the Jacobian matrix:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial x_{2}}{\partial x_{0}}-1 & \frac{\partial x_{2}}{\partial \lambda}  \tag{27}\\
\frac{\partial D T_{l}}{\partial x_{0}} & \frac{\partial D T_{l}}{\partial \lambda}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We obtained the bifurcation diagram Fig.4. Note that these results are consistant with the results from the other versions of the Alpazur oscillator: 2-state and 3-state. We find the same monotonic bifurcation structure with a limit set at a specific value of $B_{1}$.

## 4. Generalization

This method can naturally be applied to systems with higher dimension. This corresponds to applying a projection on a multi-dimensional surface instead of a simple curve. We approximate the surface locally using a plane (in 2D we approximate the switching curve by a line), and compute the intersection of the variational vector with this plane: The plane is defined by it normal: $\vec{n} \mid X_{i} \cdot \vec{n}=k$ then we can compute $\partial \tau_{i} / \partial X_{i-1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tau_{i}}{\partial X_{i-1}}=\frac{k-\left(X_{i}+\frac{\partial X_{i}}{\partial X_{i-1}}\right) \cdot \vec{n}}{F\left(X_{i}\right) \cdot \vec{n}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. Conclusion

We have detailed a procedure of numerical bifurcation analysis of switched systems with great flexibility towards the nature of the switching conditions, and illustrated it with the results of a modified Alpazur oscillator. Our future work will include studying other systems with higher dimension, and explore the possible switching scenarios, which must be expressed in a generic form in order to design a flexible computer tool based on this method.
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