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#### Abstract

A two-valued piecewise-linear, constant slope, 1D transformation is defined as a simplified model for hysteresis. By using Góra's $S$-matrix and classical results on Rényi's $\beta$-transformation $x \mapsto \beta x(\bmod 1)$ the density function of the induced absolutely continuous ergodic measure is determined. Interesting simulation results reveal the chaos established.


## 1. Introduction and the main result

The recent discovery of the memristor by Strukov et al. [8] calls the attention to investigating the qualitative properties of two-valued interval maps. We report on ongoing work explaining chaos in the piecewise expanding case. Our approach is based on observing that, given a two-valued interval map $x \mapsto X(x)$ (satisfying certain conditions), a concatenated arclength parametrization leads to a single-valued interval map $s \mapsto S(s)$ for which standard results on chaos in $1 D$ directly apply. The general discussion in Section 3 involves two increasing branches. The definition of the $\beta$-hysteresis transformation, the simplest possible example is left to Section 4. Decreasing branches are considered only in two-valued Poincaré sections of the 2D Feng-Loparo ODE model example [3] for hysteresis in Section 2.

In line with the monograph of Boyarski \& Góra [2] and the consecutive papers by Góra [4, 5], we focus our attention to absolutely continuous invariant measures for piecewise expanding 1D transformations, i.e., for interval maps of the form $\tau:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ satisfying $\left.\tau\right|_{\left[a_{n}, a_{n+1}\right]} \in C^{1}$ for some finite partition $\cup_{n=0}^{N}\left[a_{n}, a_{n+1}\right]=[0,1]$ with $0=$ $a_{0}<a_{1}<\cdots<a_{N+1}=1$ and $\left|\tau^{\prime}(x)\right| \geq q>1$ whenever $x \in\left[a_{n}, a_{n+1}\right], n=0,1, \ldots, N$. (In general, $\tau$ is two-valued on the set $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{N}\right\}$.)

## 2. A two-valued, simple interval map modelling hysteresis

The piecewise linear 2D pair of ordinary differential equations

$$
\binom{\dot{x}}{\dot{y}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma & \omega  \tag{1}\\
-\omega & \sigma
\end{array}\right)\binom{x+1}{y} \quad \text { whenever } x \leq 1
$$

$$
\binom{\dot{x}}{\dot{y}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma & \omega  \tag{2}\\
-\omega & \sigma
\end{array}\right)\binom{x-1}{y} \quad \text { whenever } x \geq-1
$$

is a well-known model for hysteresis [3, 6]. Here $\sigma$ and $\omega$ are parameters satisfying, for simplicity, $\sigma \geq 0$ and $\omega>0$. Solutions to system (1)-(2) can be started at points where the right-hand side is single-valued, i.e., at initial values $(x(0), y(0))=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ satisfying $x_{0}<-1$ or $x_{0}>1$. Any time a trajectory governed by equation (1) reaches the right-hand switching line $x=1$, it remains in the half-plane $\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid x \geq-1\right\}$, and it is governed by equation (2) for a while. Similarly, any time a trajectory governed by equation (2) reaches the left-hand switching line $x=-1$, it remains in the half-plane $\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid x \leq 1\right\}$, and it is governed by equation (1) for a while (until it hits the switching line $x=1$ etc.). Without specifying the respective domains,


Figure 1: A typical trajectory of the system portrayed according to equations (1) and (2)
the solutions to system (1)-(2) can be written as
$\binom{x\left(t, x_{0}, y_{0}\right)}{y\left(t, x_{0}, y_{0}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}e^{\sigma t} \cos (\omega t) & e^{\sigma t} \sin (\omega t) \\ -e^{\sigma t} \sin (\omega t) & e^{\sigma t} \cos (\omega t)\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{0} \mp 1}{y_{0}}+\binom{ \pm 1}{0}$
where $x\left(0, x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y\left(0, x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=y_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$.
This leads easily to an explicit representation of the Poincaré mapping $x \mapsto X(x)$ defined as the first return map associated with the horizontal axis. (Though our mapping $x \mapsto X(x)$ is two-valued on the interval $(-1,1)$, we feel it is more natural than the single-valued return maps associated with the switching lines and the transition or half-return map between the two switching lines (termed as Poincaré mapping by Feng and Loparo [3]).)


Figure 2: Construction of the Poincaré mapping

For brevity, set $m=e^{\frac{\sigma}{\omega} \pi}$ and, for $x \geq 1+\frac{2}{m}$, let $y^{*}(x)=$ $-\sqrt{e^{2 \sigma T(x)}(x-1)^{2}-4}$ where $T(x)=T(x, \sigma, \omega) \in\left(\frac{\pi}{2 \omega}, \frac{\pi}{\omega}\right]$ denotes the (uniquely defined) root of equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\sigma T(x)} \cos (\omega T(x))=-\frac{2}{x-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x_{0} \in\left(-1,1+\frac{2}{m}\right]$, then the trajectory starting from $\left(x_{0}, 0\right)$ reaches the horizontal axis at

$$
X\left(x_{0}\right)=x\left(\frac{\sigma}{\omega} \pi, x_{0}, 0\right)=-m\left(x_{0}-1\right)+1 \in[-1,+\infty)
$$

for the first time. If $x_{0}>1+\frac{2}{m}$, then the trajectory starting from $\left(x_{0}, 0\right)$ hits the switching line $x=-1$ at the point $\left(x\left(T\left(x_{0}\right), x_{0}, 0\right), y\left(T\left(x_{0}\right), x_{0}, 0\right)\right)=\left(-1, y^{*}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ first and then, after time $T\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\sigma}{2 \omega} \pi$, it reaches the horizontal axis at
$X\left(x_{0}\right)=-\sqrt{m} y^{*}\left(x_{0}\right)-1=2 \sqrt{m} \tan \left(\omega T\left(x_{0}\right)\right)-1 \in(-\infty,-1)$.
Finally, we arrive at

$$
X(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{rlr}
a(x) & \text { if } & x<1 \\
A(x) & \text { if } & -1<x
\end{array}\right.
$$

where
$A(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{llc}-m(x-1)+1 & \text { if } & -1<x \leq 1+\frac{2}{m} \\ 2 \sqrt{m} \tan (\omega T(x))-1 & \text { if } & 1+\frac{2}{m}<x\end{array}\right.$
and, by symmetry, $a(x)=-A(-x)$ for all $x<1$. Note that function $A=A(\cdot, \sigma, \omega)=A\left(\cdot, \frac{\sigma}{\omega}, 1\right):(-1,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and strictly decreasing.

Proposition 1 For $\sigma>0$, the two-valued mapping $x \mapsto$ $X(x)$ is piecewise expanding.

Proof. By using the derivative and then the square of equation (3), we obtain that

$$
A^{\prime}(x)=2 \sqrt{m} \cdot \frac{\omega T^{\prime}(x)}{\cos ^{2}(\omega T(x))}
$$



Figure 3: The Poincaré mapping
$=\sqrt{m} \cdot \frac{4 e^{-\sigma T(x)}}{\cos ^{2}(\omega T(x)) \cdot(x-1)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\omega}{\sigma \cos (\omega T(x))-\omega \sin (\omega T(x))}$

$$
=\sqrt{m} \cdot e^{\sigma T(x)} \cdot \frac{\omega}{\sigma \cos (\omega T(x))-\omega \sin (\omega T(x))}
$$

whenever $x>1+\frac{2}{m}$. Is is readily checked that function

$$
T \mapsto e^{\sigma T} \frac{\omega}{\sigma \cos (\omega T)-\omega \sin (\omega T)}
$$

is negative and strictly decreasing on the interval $\left(\frac{\pi}{2 \omega}, \frac{\pi}{\omega}\right]$. Thus, $A^{\prime}(x) \leq \sqrt{m} e^{\sigma \frac{\pi}{2 \omega}} \frac{\omega}{0-\omega 1}=-m<-1$ whenever $x \geq$ $1+\frac{2}{m}$. The rest is clear.
As a simple consequence of the Proposition, equation $A(x)=-x$ has a unique solution $m^{*}=m^{*}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\omega}\right)>1+\frac{2}{m}$ if $m>$ 1. The periodic sequence $-m^{*}, m^{*},-m^{*}, m^{*}, \ldots$ corresponds to a periodic orbit of system (1)-(2). If also $m^{*} \geq 2 m+1$, then the restriction of the two-valued mapping $x \mapsto X(x)$ to the interval $\left[-m^{*}, m^{*}\right]$ is onto. (Note that inequality $m^{*} \geq$ $2 m+1$ is satisfied whenever $m=1+\varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon$ sufficiently small.)

Iterations of the Poincaré mapping $x \mapsto X(x)$ can be started at points where $X$ is single-valued. Clearly $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{Graph}(A)$ whenever $x_{0} \geq 1$, and $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \in$ $\operatorname{Graph}(a)$ whenever $x_{0} \leq-1$. Any time $\left(x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right) \in$ $\operatorname{Graph}(A)$, then $x_{k+1}=A\left(x_{k}\right)$ if $x_{k}>-1$ and $a\left(x_{k}\right)$ if $x_{k} \leq-1$. Similarly, any time $\left(x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right) \in \operatorname{Graph}(a)$, then $x_{k+1}=a\left(x_{k}\right)$ if $x_{k}<1$ and $A\left(x_{k}\right)$ if $x_{k} \geq 1$. Thus, iterations of the Poincaré mapping $x \mapsto X(x)$ have to be understood as iterations on $\operatorname{Graph}(a) \cup \operatorname{Graph}(A)$ which, in turn-via the concatenated arclength parametrization of $\operatorname{Graph}(a) \cup \operatorname{Graph}(A)$ below-can be represented as iterations of an associated, standard interval map.

## 3. The associated interval map

Now we pass to two-valued mappings of the form

$$
X(x)= \begin{cases}\varphi(x) & \text { if } x \in[a, b] \\ \Phi(x) & \text { if } x \in[c, d]\end{cases}
$$

where constants $a, b, c, d$ satisfy $0=a<c<b<d$, mappings $\varphi:[a, b] \rightarrow[a, d]$ and $\Phi:[c, d] \rightarrow[a, d]$ are twice continuously differentiable, strictly increasing, and satisfy inequalities $\varphi(a)<b<\varphi(b)$ and $\Phi(c)<c<\Phi(d)$.


Figure 4: Concatenated arclength parametrization
Concatenated arclength parametrization is introduced via defining

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell(x) & =\int_{a}^{x} \sqrt{1+\left(\varphi^{\prime}(u)\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} u \text { for } x \in[a, b] \\
L(x) & =C+\int_{c}^{x} \sqrt{1+\left(\Phi^{\prime}(u)\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} u \text { for } x \in[c, d]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C=\ell(b)$. Observe that $0=\ell(a), C=L(c)$ and let

$$
A=0, B=\ell\left(\varphi^{-1}(b)\right), D=L\left(\Phi^{-1}(c)\right), E=L(d)
$$

By the construction, $0=A<B<C<D<E$. The interval map $S:[A, E] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with $x \mapsto X(x)$ is defined by letting

$$
S(s)= \begin{cases}\ell\left(\varphi\left(\ell^{-1}(s)\right)\right) & \text { if } s \in[A, B] \\ L\left(\varphi\left(\ell^{-1}(s)\right)\right) & \text { if } s \in[B, C] \\ \ell\left(\Phi\left(L^{-1}(s)\right)\right) & \text { if } s \in[C, D] \\ L\left(\Phi\left(L^{-1}(s)\right)\right) & \text { if } s \in[D, E] .\end{cases}
$$

Clearly $S(s) \in[A, E]$ for all $s \in[A, E]$.
For $x \in[a, c) \cup(b, d]$, the sequence of iterates $\left\{X^{k}(x)\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is defined and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{k}(x)=P\left(S^{k}\left(P^{-1}(x)\right)\right) \quad \text { for each } k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where mapping $P:[A, E] \rightarrow[a, d]$ is defined by letting

$$
P(s)= \begin{cases}\ell^{-1}(s) & \text { if } s \in[A, C] \\ L^{-1}(s) & \text { if } s \in[C, E]\end{cases}
$$

(As it is indicated by Fig. 4, $P$ can be visualized as a vertical projection because the parametrization we use identifies interval $[A, E]$ with $\operatorname{Graph}(\varphi) \cup \operatorname{Graph}(\Phi)$. Note that $P^{-1}(x)$ is single-valued for $x \in[a, c) \cup(b, d]$.)
Lemma 1 Suppose that $\varphi^{\prime}(x) \geq q>1$ for each $x \in[a, b]$ and $\Phi^{\prime}(x) \geq q>1$ for each $x \in[c, d]$. Then $S^{\prime}(s) \geq q>1$ for each $s \in[A, E]$.
Proof. Consider e.g. the case $s \in[C, D]$. Then $s=L(x)$ for some $x \in\left(c, \Phi^{-1}(c)\right)$ and $S(s)=\ell(\Phi(x))$. Thus
$S^{\prime}(s)=\ell^{\prime}(\Phi(x)) \cdot \frac{\Phi^{\prime}(x)}{L^{\prime}(x)}=\sqrt{1+\left(\varphi^{\prime}(\Phi(x))\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\Phi^{\prime}(x)}{\sqrt{1+\left(\Phi^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}}}$
and the desired inequality follows immediately. In fact, the first product term is at least $\sqrt{1+q^{2}}$ and function $p \mapsto$ $p / \sqrt{1+p^{2}}$ is increasing on $[q,+\infty)$.
Theorem 1 Assume that the conditions of the previous Lemma are satisfied. Then there exists an absolutely continuous probability measure $v$ on interval $[a, d]$ with the property that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \#\left\{0 \leq k \leq n-1 \mid X^{k}(x) \in M\right\}=v(M)
$$

for $v$-almost all $x \in[a, c) \cup(b, d]$ and every Borel set $M \subset$ $[a, d]$.
Proof. In view of the famous Lasota-Yorke Theorem (see e.g. in [2]), the associated interval map $S:[A, E] \rightarrow[A, E]$ admits an ergodic, absolutely continuous probability measure $\mu$. Since $P$ and both branches of $P^{-1}$ are Lipschitz, formula

$$
v(M)=\mu\left(P^{-1}(M)\right)
$$

(whenever $M$ is a Borel subset of interval $[a, d]$ )
defines an absolutely continuous probability measure on $[a, d]$.

Birkhoff's Ergodic Theorem gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \#\left\{0 \leq k \leq n-1 \mid S^{k}(s) \in P^{-1}(M)\right\}=\mu\left(P^{-1}(M)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mu$-almost all $s \in[A, B) \cup(D, E]$ (actually, for $\mu$-almost all $s \in[A, E]$ ) and every Borel set $M \subset[a, d]$. Since $x=$ $P^{-1}(s)$ is uniquely defined, the left-hand side of (5) equals to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \#\left\{0 \leq k \leq n-1 \mid P\left(S^{k}\left(P^{-1}(x)\right)\right) \in M\right\} \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \#\left\{0 \leq k \leq n-1 \mid X^{k}(x) \in M\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

whereas the right-hand side of (5) equals to $v(M)$.
If $m=1+\varepsilon$ and $0<\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small, then Theorem 1 holds true for (a suitable restriction of) the Poincaré mapping discussed in the previous section.

Remark 1 Given a pair of Borel subsets $M, N$ of the set $[a, c) \cup(b, d]$, it is not hard to prove that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
P^{-1}\left(X^{-k}(M)\right) \cap P^{-1}(N)=S^{-k}\left(P^{-1}(M) \cap P^{-1}(N)\right)
$$

This implies that mixing properties of measure $\mu$ are, in a technical sense, inherited by measure $v$.

## 4. Further results

For $1<\beta<2$, the $\beta$-hysteresis transformation $X_{\beta}$ : $[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is defined as the two-valued mapping

$$
X_{\beta}(x)= \begin{cases}\beta x & \text { if } 0 \leq \beta x \leq 1 \\ \beta(x-1)+1 & \text { if } \beta-1 \leq \beta x \leq \beta\end{cases}
$$

The associated interval map (normed to be defined on the unit interval) $S_{\beta}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is given by letting

$$
S_{\beta}(s)= \begin{cases}\beta s & \text { if } 0 \leq \beta s \leq 1 / 2 \\ \beta(s-1 / 2)+1 & \text { if } 1 / 2 \leq \beta s \leq \beta / 2 \\ \beta(s-1 / 2) & \text { if } \beta / 2 \leq \beta s \leq \beta-1 / 2 \\ \beta(s-1 / 2)+1 & \text { if } \beta-1 / 2 \leq \beta s \leq \beta\end{cases}
$$

The interval map $S_{\beta}, 1<\beta<2$, belongs to the class of mappings investigated in a recent paper by Góra [5]. His matrix $\mathcal{S}$ is a $4 \times 4$ matrix in our case and, as it is readily checked by a direct computation, $\frac{1}{\beta}$ is not an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{S}$. Hence the absolutely continuous invariant probability measure $\mu_{\beta}$ associated with $S_{\beta}$ is unique and ergodic. The (non-normalized) density function of $\mu_{\beta}$ can be given



Figure 5: The $\beta$-hysteresis transformation and its associated interval map normed to be defined on the unit interval explicitly as

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\beta}(s) & =\frac{1}{D \beta}+\frac{1}{\beta^{2}}+\frac{2}{\beta^{2}(\beta-1)} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\chi_{\left[0,1-S_{\beta, r}^{k}\left(s_{0}\right)\right]}(s)+\chi_{\left[S_{\beta, r}^{k}\left(s_{0}\right), 1\right]}(s)\right) \frac{1}{\beta^{k+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $D$ is an appropriate constant (computed from Góra's matrix $\mathcal{S}$ : his $D_{i}$ 's are all equal in our case), $\chi_{M}(s)=1$ if $s \in M$ and $\chi_{M}(s)=0$ if $s \notin M$ (the characteristic function of $M$ ), $S_{\beta, r}$ is the right-continuous-in Góra's terminology,
the "lazy"-single-valued selection of $S_{\beta}$, and $s_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \beta}$ (the first point of discontinuity of $S_{\beta}$ ). For details, see Theorem 8 of Góra [5] as well as our forthcoming paper.

Remark 2 For each interval $J \subset[0,1]$ and parameter $1<\beta<2$, it is easy to check that $S_{\beta}^{k}(J)=[0,1]$ whenever $k \geq k(J, \beta)$ with some integer $k(J, \beta)$. Hence ([2], p.167) $S_{\beta}$ is exact and Bernoulli. The simplest choice of $\beta$ for which $S_{\beta}$ is Markov is $\beta=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, a golden ratio number. This corresponds to the special case $\varphi(b)=c, \Phi(c)=b$ in Section 3 and gives rise to beautiful subshift representations.

Details and complete proofs will be published elsewhere. For combinatorial properties of chaos in piecewise linear maps with hysteresis, we refer to Berkolaiko [1] and to the last Remark of the present note. For 1D chaos in electrical circuits, see the survey paper by Sharkovsky \& Chua [7].
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