## One-Branch Diversity Antenna Construction Using Reactance-Switching Circuit for Portable Telephones

Yasuhiro NISHIOKA<sup>(1)</sup>, Toru FUKASAWA<sup>(1)</sup>, Masataka OHTSUKA<sup>(1)</sup>, Sigeru MAKINO<sup>(1)</sup>, Yonehiko SUNAHARA<sup>(1)</sup>, and Shuji URASAKI<sup>(2)</sup> <sup>(1)</sup> Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, <sup>(2)</sup> Hiroshima Institute of Technology <sup>(1)</sup> 5-1-1 Ofuna, Kamakura, KANAGAWA 247-8501, JAPAN E-mail: nishiyan@isl.melco.co.jp

**1. Introduction** In land mobile communication systems, it is well known that the multi-path fading frequently degrade the communication quality. In order to suppress the degradation of the communication quality due to the fading, antenna diversity techniques have widely been implementing in base and mobile stations. In mobile portable telephones, two-branch antenna diversity technique has commonly been implementing and been well studied [1]-[5]. This needs for install two antenna elements to the mobile phone, and requires large volume for installation. However, the reduction of the installation space for antenna elements is strongly required to achieve the miniaturized and thin mobile phone. In addition, an internal or protuberanceless antenna structure is also required from a view point of the appearance of the mobile phone.

One of the most effective ways for these requirements is to reduce the number of antenna elements without lacking diversity effects. In [6] and [7], one-element antenna diversity implementations using microstrip patch antennas with several shorting posts consisting of a conducting-wire and a PIN-diode have been studied. However, the patch antennas are not suitable essentially for compact and thin mobile phone, because the planar dimension of the patch is approximately half-wavelength, which requires large volume inside the mobile phone at a frequency less than S-band.

In this paper, we propose a novel type of diversity antenna construction for compact mobile phones with an internal type of antenna structure. The validity of the proposed diversity antenna construction will be shown numerically and experimentally. All the calculated results to be shown in this paper are obtained by using the FDTD method.

**2. Diversity Antenna Construction** Figure 1 shows the geometry of the antenna considered here. This structure represents the implementation of the proposed diversity antenna construction in a foldable mobile phone that is the most popular type in recent Japan. The antenna consists of two conducting-plates (GND 1 and 2), the conducting-plate 3 connecting between the GND 1 and 2, one excitation element, and the reactance-switching circuit, Z, connecting between the excitation element and the GND 1. The GND 1 simulates the ground-plane of RF and baseband circuits. The GND 2 simulates the ground-plane of circuits for a display.

The idea of the diversity antenna construction we propose is qualitatively explained below. We try to control the radiating polarization and pattern by utilizing the conducting-plates positively as a radiating conductor and by changing current distribution on the ground-planes. One end of the excitation element is excited at the left-upper corner of the GND 1 (feed point), and the other end is connected to the right-upper corner of the GND 1 through the reactance-switching circuit, Z. The phase and amplitude (especially phase) of the current flowing from the element onto the GND 1 must depend considerably on the reactance value of Z. If the current flowing from the feed point onto the GND 1 and that from the reactance-switching circuit, Z, are almost in phase, z-component current becomes the dominant current mode for radiation. On the other hand, y-component current is dominant for radiation when those phases are different approximately by 180 degrees. Consequently, it is considered that we can control the polarization and pattern of the radiation field by appropriately choosing the reactance value of Z. It is assumed hereafter that the circuit Z has no resistance component, i.e., Z=jX.

**3.** Numerical and Experimental Results Figure 2 shows the correlation coefficient (marks •) versus "Arg(Z)" that denotes the argument of Z in the 50-ohms Smith chart. For example,  $Arg(Z)=0^{\circ}$ , 90°, and 180° correspond to  $Z=j\infty$ , j50, and j0 in ohms, respectively. The correlation coefficient means that between the radiation pattern for each Arg(Z) and that for  $Arg(Z)=0^{\circ}$ . It is found that the radiation

patterns for  $\operatorname{Arg}(Z)=0^{\circ}$  and for  $\operatorname{Arg}(Z)=60^{\circ}$  are considerably different from each other, because the correlation coefficient between them is approximately 0.2. It is noted here that the correlation coefficients are calculated by employing the complex radiation E-field in the X-Z and Y-Z planes, and the arrival wave condition is assumed that the cross polarization ratio is XPR=0-dB and the arrival wave come uniformly from any direction. Figure 2 also shows the polarization power ratio in X-Z plane for each Arg(Z), indicated with marks  $\triangle$ , defined by

$$P_{\theta} / P_{\phi} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| E_{\theta} \right|^{2} d\theta / \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| E_{\phi} \right|^{2} d\theta .$$

From this result, it is found that the low correlation-coefficient between  $Arg(Z)=0^{\circ}$  and  $60^{\circ}$  is mainly caused by the fact that the main polarization alternates in X-Z plane.

Figures 3 and 4 show the calculated and measured results of the radiation patterns for  $Arg(Z)=0^{\circ}$  and 60°, respectively. The radiation *patterns* of each polarization component in X-Z plane are almost same between the two Arg(Z)'s, but the polarization ratio is drastically changed. On the other hand, in Y-Z plane, although the main polarization component of the radiation field does not alternate, its *pattern* is considerably changed. These radiation patterns are probably produced by the fact that z-directed current components is a dominant current mode when  $Arg(Z)=0^{\circ}$ , while y components become a dominant current mode when  $Arg(Z)=60^{\circ}$ .

In order to clarify the operating principle of the proposed antenna, we have calculated current distributions on the GND 1 and 2. Figure 5(i) shows the z-component current distributions for  $Arg(Z)=0^{\circ}$  where (a) and (b) show the amplitude and phase distributions, respectively. When  $Arg(Z)=0^{\circ}$ , the z-component currents are almost in phase. Therefore, the radiation pattern for  $Arg(Z)=0^{\circ}$  become a z-directed dipole-like pattern, as shown in Fig. 3. On the other hand, the current distributions for  $Arg(Z)=60^{\circ}$  are shown in Fig. 5(ii). In this case, the amplitude of the z-component currents flowing on the right-side of ground-planes and on the left-side are almost same each other, but their phases are different approximately by 180° each other. Therefore, the radiation field intensity due to z-component currents degrades, and y-component currents mainly contribute to the radiation field. Owing to this fact, the radiation pattern for  $Arg(Z)=60^{\circ}$  becomes as shown in Fig. 4

Figure 6 shows the input impedance characteristics when  $\operatorname{Arg}(Z)=0^{\circ}$  and  $60^{\circ}$ . In this case, the characteristic of VSWR < 3 is obtained for both  $\operatorname{Arg}(Z)$ 's. Noted here that inserting a matching-circuit whose impedance is switched for each  $\operatorname{Arg}(Z)$  into the feed-point, mismatch-loss will be able to be reduced.

## 4. Conclusion

We have proposed a novel type of diversity antenna construction for compact mobile phones. The validity of the proposed diversity antenna construction has been demonstrated numerically and experimentally. Our next important works are to establish an optimum design theory and to investigate the performance in talking and brawzing situations.

## References

[1] B. M. Green and M. A. Jensen, "Diversity Performance of Dual-Antenna Handsets Near Operator Tissue," *IEEE Trans.*, vol.AP-48, no.7, pp.1017-1024, July 2000.

[2] M. G. Douglas *et al.*, "Planar Diversity Antenna for Handheld PCS Devices," *IEEE Trans. on Vehicular Tech.*, vol.47, no.3, pp.747-754, Aug. 1998.

[3] J. S. Colburn, Y. R.-Samii, M. A. Jensen, and G. J. Pottie, "Evaluation of Personal Communications Dual-Antenna Handset Diversity Performance," *IEEE Trans. on Vehicular Tech.*, vol.47, no.3, pp.737-746, Aug. 1998.

[4] M. A. Jensen and Y. R.-Samii, "Performance Analysis of Antennas for Hand-Held Transceivers Using FDTD," *IEEE Trans.*, vol.AP-42, no.8, pp.1106-1113, Aug. 1994.

[5] K. Ogawa and T. Uwano, "A Diversity Antenna for Very Small 800-MHz Band Portable Telephones," *IEEE Trans.*, vol.AP-42, no.9, pp.1342-1345, Sept. 1994.

[6] E. Lee *et al.*, "Pattern Diversity Antennas for Future Mobile Communication Systems," *Proc. of the 2000 ISAP*, FUKUOKA, JAPAN, vol.3, pp.1207-1210, Aug. 2000.

[7] R. Vaughan, "Microstrip Antennas with Frequency Agility and Polarization Diversity," *IEEE Trans.*, vol.AP-29, no.1, pp.118-123, Jan. 1981.



Fig. 1. Geometry of the antenna.



Fig.2. Correlation coefficient and polarization power ratio versus Arg(Z).



Fig. 3. Radiation pattern for  $Arg(Z)=0^{\circ}$ . The left is in X-Z plane and the right is in Y-Z plane.



Fig. 4. Radiation pattern for Arg(Z)=60°. The left is in X-Z plane and the right is in Y-Z plane.







(a)  $\operatorname{Arg}(Z)=0^{\circ}$ . (b)  $\operatorname{Arg}(Z)=60^{\circ}$ . Fig. 6. Input impedance characteristics. The normalized impedance is  $50-\Omega$ . The circles which are constant of VSWR denote VSWR=1.5, 2, 3, and 5, respectively. "f0" denotes the design frequency.