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#### Abstract

To effectively solve the electromagnetic scattering problem of large-scale finite array structure, a non-overlapping domain decomposition method(DDM), the dual-primal finite element tearing and interconnecting method(FETI-DP), is applied to the hybrid finite element-boundary integral-multilevel fast multipole algorithm method(FE-BI-MLFMA). The formula of near scattering field is deduced by equivalent electric and magnetic current. Then, the Generalized Radar Cross Section (GRCS) in near scattering region is calculated by the near scattering field. The numerical performance of the proposed method is demonstrated by calculating a patch antenna array's scattering.


## I. Introduction

The domain Decomposition Method (DDM) has always been recognized as an important scheme to construct highly efficient algorithm. The Finite Element Method (FEM), implemented together with a domain decomposition algorithm in electromagnetic, was first introduced by Després[1]. Subsequently, sorts of advanced finite element domain decomposition methods were proposed [2]-[6]. Among various existing finite element domain decomposition methods, a nonoverlapping finite element tearing and interconnection (FETI) method is investigated as a particularly efficient method [2]-[3]. Recently, a dual-primal technology is adopted in FETI denoted as FETI-DPEM1, which is successful applied for the computation of large-scale finite array antenna radiation problem [5]. The convergence of the FETI-DPEM1 algorithm becomes slow at higher frequencies. This disadvantage can be eliminated by using the FETI-DPEM2 algorithm [6], which enhances the dual-primal (DP) idea with two Lagrange multipliers, while the field continuity at the subdomain interfaces is guaranteed by the Robin-type transmission condition. To improve the algorithm [6] accuracy, a secondorder absorbing condition is introduced [7], but the approximation for the solution can't be omitted completely.

The hybrid finite element-boundary integral-multilevel fast multipole algorithm method (FE-BI-MLFMA) has been verified as a general and accurate method for inhomogeneous electromagnetic problems [8]. Whereas, the final deduced FEBI matrix is an ill-conditioned matrix for its partly sparse and partly dense matrix. It becomes difficult to solve the system matrix by general iterative solver and even no convergence for some complex problems. Then, two methods are applied to
improve the convergence of traditional FE-BI-MLFMA [9][10]. Though the two methods can accelerate the convergence effectively, the process of solving the inverse of a sparse matrix required in each method consumes large memory. This bottleneck limits the application of FE-BI-MLFMA in solving large-scale electromagnetic problems.
To improve the computational accuracy of finite element DDM and reduce the high memory consumption by FE-BIMLFMA, combining the dual-primal tearing and interconnecting DDM and FE-BI-MLFMA together yields a new hybrid method denoted as FETI-BI-MLFMA. What's more, scattering field anywhere in space is strictly deduced by the current and magnetic sources on the outward surface of object which has been obtained by FETI-BI-MLFMA. Take a patch array antenna as an example of finite array structure and calculate its near and far field by the proposed method.

## II. FORMULATION

## A. The FETI-BI-MLFMA Method

Considering scattering by an inhomogeneous object, whose surface is denoted as $S$.According to the conventional FE-BIMLFMA [8], the computing region is directly divided into interior region and exterior boundary by the surface $S$.The interior field is formulated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}(\mathbf{E})=\frac{1}{2} \int_{V}\left[(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot\left(\left[\mu_{r}\right]^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}\right)-k_{0}^{2} \mathbf{E} \cdot\left[\varepsilon_{r}\right] \mathbf{E}\right] d V+j k_{0} \int_{s}(\mathbf{E} \times \overline{\mathbf{H}}) \cdot \hat{n} d S(1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\overline{\mathbf{H}}=Z_{0} \mathbf{H}$ where $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ are the unknown electric and magnetic field respectively. In addition, $Z_{0}$ is the free-space impedance and $k_{0}$ is the free-space wave number. $\hat{n}$ denotes the outward unit vector normal to $S$. The field on the exterior surface is formulated into the following combined field integral equation (CFIE):

$$
\begin{equation*}
0.5 \times \mathrm{EFIE}+0.5 \times \mathrm{MFIE} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For explicit expressions of the function CFIE in (2), the reader should refer to [8].

In this paper, the FETI-DPEM2 algorithm is applied in the FEM part of FE-BI-MLFMA. To be more specific, the interior FEM domain is decomposed into $N$ non-overlapping subdomains. Let the sub-domains $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$ be adjacent, we employ $\Gamma_{j, i}, \Gamma_{i, j}$ to represent their respective neighboring surfaces, with the corresponding outward unit normal vectors being $\hat{n}_{i}$ and $\hat{n}_{j}$. The edges shared by more than two subdomains
or shared by two sub-domains only for those on exterior boundary are called corner edges denoted as $\Gamma_{c}$.

At the interfaces $\Gamma_{i}$, the continuity of the tangential electric and magnetic field components is guaranteed by imposing Robin-type transmission conditions with extra variable $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{n}_{i} \times\left(\left[\mu_{r, i}\right]^{-1} \cdot\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{i}\right)\right)+j k_{0}\left(\hat{n}_{i} \times \hat{n}_{i} \times \mathbf{E}_{i}\right)=\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i} \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the fields in each sub-domain can be independently formulated with combination of (1) and (3), which is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{F}\left(\mathbf{E}_{i}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{V_{i}}\left[\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{i}\right) \cdot\left(\left[\mu_{r, i}\right]^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{i}\right)-k_{0}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{i} \cdot\left[\varepsilon_{r, i}\right] \mathbf{E}_{i}\right] d V+  \tag{4}\\
& \alpha \int_{\Gamma_{i}}\left(\hat{n}_{i} \times \mathbf{E}_{i}\right) \cdot\left(\hat{n}_{i} \times \mathbf{E}_{i}\right) d S+\int_{\Gamma_{i}} \mathbf{E}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{i} d S+j k_{0} \int_{s_{i}}\left(\mathbf{E}_{i} \times \overline{\mathbf{H}}_{i}\right) \cdot \hat{n}_{i} d S
\end{align*}
$$

The electric field $\mathbf{E}_{i}$ in the $i^{\text {th }}$ sub-domain can be expanded with edge-element vector basis function and (4) is cast in the following form for the $i^{\text {th }}$ sub-domain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{K}_{i} E_{i}=-\mathbf{C} \bar{H}_{s, i}-\int_{\Gamma_{i}} \mathbf{W}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i} d S \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{K}_{i}= & \int_{V_{i}}\left[\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{W}_{i}\right) \cdot\left[\mu_{r, i}\right]^{-1}\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{W}_{i}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}-k_{0}^{2} \mathbf{W}_{i} \cdot\left[\varepsilon_{r, i}\right] \mathbf{W}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] d V  \tag{6}\\
& +\alpha \int_{\Gamma_{i}}\left(\hat{n}_{i} \times \mathbf{W}_{i}\right) \cdot\left(\hat{n}_{i} \times \mathbf{W}_{i}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d S \\
\mathbf{C}= & j k_{0} \int_{s_{i}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{i} \times \mathbf{W}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \cdot \hat{n}_{i} d S \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\mathbf{W}_{i}$ denotes a column vector containing the edge-element vector basis function in the $i^{\text {th }}$ sub-domain.

In each subdomain, the unknown coefficients of the electric field $E_{i}$ are grouped into three categories $E_{V, i}, E_{l, i}$, and $E_{c, i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i}=\left[E_{V, i}^{\mathrm{T}}, E_{l, i}^{\mathrm{T}}, E_{c, i}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}=\left[E_{r, i}^{\mathrm{T}}, E_{c, i}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (8), the superscript T denotes matrix transposition. Furthermore, the subscripts $V, I$ and $c$ denote the degrees of freedom associated with the internal volume, interface, and corner edges, respectively. The unknowns $\mathrm{E}_{r, i}$ associated with the internal volume and interfaces are considered as local variables, whereas the unknowns $\mathrm{E}_{c, i}$ associated with the corner edges are considered as global variables. The global primal variables in interior FEM region can be represented as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}=\left[\mathrm{E}_{r, 1}^{\mathrm{T}}, \cdots, \mathrm{E}_{r, N}^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{E}_{c}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the solution vector is constructed in this manner, the tangential electric field at the corner edges is ensured to be continuous. Applying this notation to (5) results in

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{K}_{r, i} & \mathbf{K}_{r c i}  \tag{10}\\
\mathbf{K}_{r, i}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{K}_{c c, i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{E}_{r, i} \\
\mathrm{E}_{c, i}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\mathbf{B}_{r, i} \mathbf{C}_{i} \bar{H}_{s, i}-\mathbf{B}_{I, i}^{\mathrm{T}} \lambda_{i} \\
-\mathbf{B}_{c, i} \mathbf{C}_{i} \bar{H}_{s, i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Here, $\mathbf{B}_{r, i}, \mathbf{B}_{I, i}$, and $\mathbf{B}_{c, i}$ are Boolean matrixes and satisfy $\mathbf{B}_{r, i} E_{i}=E_{r, i}, \mathbf{B}_{l, i} E_{r, i}=E_{l, i}$, and $\mathbf{B}_{c, i} E_{i}=E_{c, i}$. The unknown $\lambda_{i}$ is called the dual-variable and defined as $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{B}_{l, i}^{\mathrm{T}} \lambda_{i} & 0\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}=\int_{\Gamma_{i}} \mathbf{W}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i} d S$ whose dimension corresponds to the number of unknowns at interfaces.
From (10), it can be seen that the system matrix characterizing each subdomain decouples, and the interaction of the adjacent subdomains is included in the transmission conditions of the interfaces. Combining the two matrix equations in (10) permits the elimination of the unknowns $\mathrm{E}_{r, i}$. We assemble the subdomains contribution from (10) to obtain a global corner unknowns related system equation written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{c c} E_{c}-\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{c l} \lambda=\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{c} \bar{H}_{s} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{c c}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{O}_{c, i}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{K}_{c, i}-\mathbf{K}_{r c, i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{K}_{r, i}^{-1} \mathbf{K}_{r, i}\right) \mathbf{O}_{c, i}  \tag{12}\\
& \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{c}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{O}_{c, i}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{K}_{r c, i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{K}_{r, i}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{r, i} \mathbf{C}_{i}-\mathbf{B}_{c, i} \mathbf{C}_{i}\right) \mathbf{R}_{i}  \tag{13}\\
& \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{c l}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{O}_{c, i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{K}_{r, i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{K}_{r r i}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{l, i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{O}_{l, i} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{O}_{c, i}, \mathbf{O}_{I, i}$, and $\mathbf{R}_{i}$ are Boolean matrices satisfying $E_{c, i}=\mathbf{O}_{c, i} E_{c}, \lambda_{i}=\mathbf{O}_{I, i} \lambda, \bar{H}_{s, i}=\mathbf{R}_{i} \bar{H}_{s}$.
To couple subdomains together, another set of equations representing the tangential electric and magnetic field continuity across the interfaces between different subdomains is required. Based on Robin-type transmission condition given by (3) at interface $\Gamma_{j, i}$, we can get

$$
\lambda_{j, i}+\lambda_{i, j}=-2 \mathbf{M}_{i, j} E_{i, j} \quad i=1,2, \cdots N \text { and } j \in \text { neighbour }(i) \text { (15) }
$$

Where $E_{i, j}$ denotes the electric field at the interface of the $j^{\text {th }}$ subdomain adjacent to the $i^{\text {th }}$ subdomain and $\mathbf{M}_{i, j}=j k_{0} \int_{\Gamma, i}\left(\hat{n}_{j} \times \mathbf{W}_{j}\right) \cdot\left(\hat{n}_{j} \times \mathbf{W}_{j}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d S$.
Assembling (15) together for all sub-domains to get the following another corner problem equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{l l} \lambda+\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{l c} E_{c}=\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{l} \hat{\bar{H}}_{s} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{I I}=\mathbf{I}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{O}_{l, i}^{\mathrm{T}} \sum_{\text {jeneieghbor(i) }} \mathbf{T}_{j, i}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{T}_{i, j}-2 \mathbf{M}_{j, i} \mathbf{T}_{i, j} \mathbf{B}_{l, j} \mathbf{K}_{r, j}^{-1} \mathbf{J}_{l, j}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathbf{O}_{l, j}  \tag{17}\\
& \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{l c}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{O}_{l, i}^{\mathrm{T}} \sum_{\text {jneieighbor(i) }} \mathbf{T}_{j, i}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(-2 \mathbf{M}_{i, j} \mathbf{T}_{i, j} \mathbf{B}_{l, j} \mathbf{K}_{r, j}^{-1} \mathbf{K}_{r, j}\right) \mathbf{O}_{c, j}  \tag{18}\\
& \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{I}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{O}_{l, i}^{\mathrm{T}} \sum_{\text {jeneighbor(i) }} \mathbf{T}_{j, i}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(2 \mathbf{M}_{i, j} \mathbf{T}_{i, j} \mathbf{B}_{l, j} \mathbf{K}_{r, j}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{r, j} \mathbf{C}_{j}\right) \mathbf{R}_{j} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

And Boolean matrix $\mathbf{T}_{j, i}$ satisfies $E_{j, i}=\mathbf{T}_{j, i} E_{i}$ and $\lambda_{j, i}=\mathbf{T}_{j, i} \lambda_{i}$.
By combining (11) and (16) and eliminating $E_{c}$, we can derive the equation for the dual unknowns and the magnetic field on surface $S$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{I I}+\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{l c} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{c c}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{c l}\right) \lambda+\left(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{l c} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{c c}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{c}-\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{l}\right) \bar{H}_{s}=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sake of clarity, let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\mathbf{D}}=\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{I I}+\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{l c} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{c c}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{c l}  \tag{21}\\
& \tilde{\mathbf{F}}=\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{l c} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{c c}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{c}-\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{I} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, (20) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbf{D}} \lambda+\tilde{\mathbf{F}} \bar{H}_{s}=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to the convenient FE-BI-MLFMA, discretizing (2) by MOM yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P} E_{s}+\mathbf{Q} \bar{H}_{s}=\mathbf{b} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

For explicit expressions of the matrix $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}$ and column vector $\mathbf{b}$ in (24), the reader should refer to [8].
Because of (9), the unknown $E_{s}$ is grouped into $E_{c, s}$ and $E_{r, s}$.with the aid of (10) and (11), equation (24) is deduced into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbf{P}} \lambda+\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} \bar{H}_{s}=\mathbf{b} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbf{P}}=\mathbf{P}\left[\mathbf{O}_{c, s}^{\mathrm{T}} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{c c}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{c l}-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{B}_{s r i, i}\left(\mathbf{K}_{r, i}^{-1} \mathbf{K}_{r c i, i} \mathbf{O}_{c, i} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{c c}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{c l}+\mathbf{K}_{r, i}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{l, i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{O}_{l, i}\right)\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}=\mathbf{Q}+\mathbf{P}\left[\mathbf{O}_{c, s}^{\mathrm{T}} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{c c}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{c}-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{B}_{s r, i}\left(\mathbf{K}_{r r, i}^{-1} \mathbf{K}_{r c, i} \mathbf{O}_{c, i} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{c c}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{c}+\mathbf{K}_{r r, i}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{r, i} \mathbf{C}_{i} \mathbf{R}_{i}\right)\right]( \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The final system matrix equation of FETI-BI-MLFMA is obtained by combination of (23) and (25) as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{\mathbf{D}} & \tilde{\mathbf{F}}  \tag{28}\\
\tilde{\mathbf{P}} & \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\lambda \\
\bar{H}_{s}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
\mathbf{b}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Observing (28), by the introduction of the dual unknown $\lambda$, the original 3-D problem is reduced to a problem relating with sub-domain interfaces and exterior boundary surface. It is apparent that the problem always has fewer unknowns which enable us to solve large-scale problems.

## B. The Strict Scattering Field Formulation

After solved by FETI-BI-MLFMA, the fields in interior region and on surface $S$ have been obtained. Because the obtained filed is limited in computing region, scattering field anywhere in space out of objects can be strictly deduced by the current and magnetic sources on surface $S$ according to electromagnetic field theory. The scattering electric field $\mathbf{E}^{s}$ in $\mathbf{r}$ is calculated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}^{s}(\mathbf{r})=Z \mathrm{~L}\left(\hat{n} \times \mathbf{H}_{s}\right)-\mathrm{K}\left(\mathbf{E}_{s} \times \hat{n}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z=\sqrt{\mu / \varepsilon}$. L and K are two operators defined in [11]. Introduce $\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}, R=\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|$, and $\hat{R}=\mathbf{R} / R$ where $\mathbf{r}^{\prime}$ denotes any point at surface $S$. Through a series of mathematical transformation, formula (29) is reduced to

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E}^{s}(\mathbf{r}) & =\frac{j k Z_{0}}{4 \pi} \int_{S} \frac{\left(\hat{n} \times \mathbf{H}_{s}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) \times \mathbf{R}\right) \times \mathbf{R} e^{-j k R}}{R^{3}}\left(1+\frac{1}{j k R}-\frac{1}{k^{2} R^{2}}\right) d S \\
& +\frac{Z_{0}}{2 \pi} \int_{S} \frac{\left(\hat{n} \times \mathbf{H}_{s}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \mathbf{R}\right) \mathbf{R} e^{-j k R}}{R^{4}}\left(1+\frac{1}{j k R}\right) d S  \tag{30}\\
& -\frac{j k}{4 \pi} \int_{S} \frac{\mathbf{E}_{s}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) \times \hat{n} \times \mathbf{R} e^{-j k R}}{R^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{j k R}\right) d S
\end{align*}
$$

Using (30), the scattering electric filed in near and far region is strictly calculated, we adopt the following general radar cross section (GRCS) [12] to further demonstrate object's near and far field property.

$$
\begin{equation*}
G R C S=4 \pi R^{2} \frac{\left|\mathbf{E}^{s} \cdot \hat{e}_{r}\right|^{2}}{\left|\mathbf{E}^{i}\right|^{2}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

In formula (31), $\hat{e}_{r}$ denotes the polarized direction, $R$ denotes the distance between observing point and center of target. When $R$ is big enough, GRCS is consistent with the strict RCS.

## III. NumERICAL EXPERIMENTS

To verify the validity of FETI-BI-MLFMA and strict scattering formulation, a homogeneous dielectric $4 \lambda \times 4 \lambda \times 4 \lambda$ cube's GRCS on sphere surface $1000 \lambda$ away from the center of object is calculated. It is illuminated by plane wave at incidence angle $\theta=0^{\circ}, \varphi=0^{\circ}$ and the computing region is divided by cuboid subdomain with dimension of $0.5 \lambda \times 0.5 \lambda \times 4 \lambda$. A tetrahedral mesh with average edge length of $0.05 \lambda$ is used. The result from FETI-BI-MLFMA is compared with RCS by MOM in Fig. 1. Investigate Fig. 1 the result from FETI-BI-MLFMA has a good agreement with MOM.


Fig. 1. Bi-static VV-polarized RCS and GRCS of a dielectric cube in the Eplane


Fig. 2. Illustration of a patch antenna array


Fig. 3. Illustration of different subdomains needed to be analyzed in $M \times N$ array problem
Next, take a patch array antenna's (Fig. 2) scattering as an example of finite array structure to investigate the numerical scalability of FETI-BI-MLFMA. Each patch element is regarded as a sub-domain and is extended along both $x$ and $y$ directions denoted as $M \times N$ patch array antenna. A tetrahedral mesh with average edge length of $0.05 \lambda$ is used. To save computer memory and time, the periodicity of structure is sufficiently utilized which is shown by Fig. 3. $8 \times 8,16 \times 16$, $32 \times 32$ patch array antennas are illuminated by plane wave at incidence angle $\theta=0^{\circ}, \varphi=0^{\circ}$ and the GRCS on sphere surface $1000 \lambda$ away from the center of objects is calculated. Results are showed in Fig.4-6 and mainly computation information is listed in Table I Table I suggests that memory consumption is rising linearly with the increase of sub-domain number, and the iterative step goes up at a very slow speed, which demonstrating the favorable numerical scalability of FETI-BIMLFMA for solving two-dimension extension problems.


Fig. 4. Bi-static GRCS of the $8 \times 8$ patch antenna array


Fig. 5. Bi-static GRCS of the $16 \times 16$ patch antenna array


Fig. 6. Bi-static GRCS of the $32 \times 32$ patch antenna array
TABLE I
COMPUTATION INFORMATION FOR PATCH ANTENNA ARRAYS

| Array size | Iteration <br> number | Memory <br> $(\mathrm{GB})$ | Computing time <br> $($ min ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8 \times 8$ | 50 | 0.5 | 5.2 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 87 | 1.9 | 23.8 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 145 | 7.6 | 153.9 |

Finally, we use the presented method to calculate GRCS by $50 \times 50$ patch antenna array on sphere surface $15 \lambda, 20 \lambda$, and $30 \lambda$ away from the center of object. The driving plane wave is at incidence angle $\theta=0^{\circ}, \varphi=0^{\circ}$. Fig. 7 shows the computational result.


Fig. 7 Bi-static VV-polarized GRCS of the $50 \times 50$ patch array antenna in Eplane
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