## Sensing Electromagnetic Source Generated by an Explosion Seismic Experiment from Ground-Surface Measurement

I. Tomizawa, M. Hayakawa and T. Yoshino University of Electro-Communications, Chofu-shi, Tokyo, JAPAN K. Ohta Chubu University, Kasugai-shi, Aichi, JAPAN T. Okada Toyama Prefectural University, Tonami-shi, Toyama, JAPAN H. Sakai Toyama University, Toyama-shi, Toyama, JAPAN

#### 1. Introduction

Electromagnetic phenomena associated with the fracture of rock caused by the artificial deformation or the earth crustal deformation has been detected by many researchers in laboratories[1-5] or in the fields just before earthquakes[6-8]. However the generation and the propagation mechanisms of the electromagnetic phenomena has not been clearly explained. Underground explosion of a large amount of dynamite makes deformation around it by the high pressure. It is similar to the condition of the rock fracture caused by the tectonic pressure. Although the time derivatives of the pressure are quite different between two phenomena, the fractures of rock can be caused if the the pressure is greater than the maximum stress of the consisting rocks. Therefore the electromagnetic generation can be expected at the time of underground explosion. In fact, such electromagnetic phenomena has been detected in the underground explosion[9-11]. Yamada[10] and Sakai et al.[11] only recorded the electric potential with the long-span electrode pairs at the explosion seismic experiments, and they revealed that the coincidental electric potential variation were observed prior to the arrival of the seismic wave in all of the explosions. It can be easily understood that the underground explosion generates the electric potential around the explosion point. However the electromagnetic characteristic of the generated source or the generation mechanism are not known. Our main objective of this measurement is the sensing of the electromagnetic characteristic of the generated underground source from the sensors arranged over the ground surface. Rocks and soil covered over the underground source are so conductive compared to the air that the electromagnetic field produced by the source are steeply attenuated by the covered media. So the produced electormagnetic field propagate through the lossy media that the radial dependency can be expected in the short range measurement.



Fig.1 Arrangement of sensors system around the explosion point

# 2.Arrangement of Measurement system around the explosion point

The explosion seismic experiment was conducted at 01h22mJST (JST=UT+9) on October 17, 1991 in Ohyama-cho, Toyama Prefecture to observe the crustal structure across the Cetral Tectonic Line by the seismic wave. The explosion point is shown as O in Figure 1. Dynamite of 450kg was placed at the bottom of the 75m metal casing pipe as shown in Figure 1(b). The sesor unit consists of two crossed loop antennas and two pairs of the 4m-span grounding electrodes. A pair of the sensor unit were used in this measurement to detect the radial dependency of the electromagnetic phenomena. The arrangement of the two units are shown in Figure 1(a). The near unit indicated as R1 is 29m apart from the explosion point and the far unit as R2 97m apart on the same raidal line. One pair of the consisting sensors are placed along the same radial line and the other in its orthogonal orientation as indicated in the square box in Figure 1(a). Grounding electrode is indicated as G and loop antenna as L. The orientation of the sensor is indicated by the suffix r for radial and by a for azimuthal. The electrode was a carbon-coated steel with 30cm in length and 1cm in diameter. The potential difference between the pair of electrodes were amplified by the high input impedance differential amplifier operated by the batteries and its output were transmitted to the recorder located about 150m from the explosion point via a coaxial cable. Magnetic field strength were detected by a ferrite-cored loop antenna with the dimension of 30cm in length and 1cm in diameter, and with 1000 turns of 0.5mm The output of the loop antenna was amplified by the low input copper wire. impedance amplifier and also transmitted to the recorder. The setup of the remote sensor unit at R2 was all the same for that at R1. The output pairs of the same oriention but different in distance were recorded on the same DAT type recorder to eliminate the ambiguity in time difference. The alignment of the tape were made by using atmospheric waveforms. The ambiguity in time scale is approximately 200microsec among them. Frequency response of these measurement systems were almost flat between 10Hz and 2kHz. Additionally VLF crossed loop antennas were also placed 20m north of the R2 point and the output of the loop antenna was also recorded on the DAT recorder. Recording was started 50 minutes before the explosion and stopped 20 minutes after it. Seven outputs of the sensor system were recorded in the proper level, however, the output of the azimuthal magnetic sensor at R1 was not functioning properly during this period. The recorded digital data is transfered to the personal computer with a magneto-opetical disk, and then is analyzed in software.



# 3.Results of the measurement

The potential differences of the electrode pairs are converted to the electric field strength and are shown in Figure 2. The electric field strength of the same orientation are shown on the same panel to compare the time difference between two separate sensors. In the same manner the magnetic field strength are shown in Figure 3. It is very clear that the electric field variation starts just on the same timing of the explosion both at two distant positions and both on the two orientations. Amplitude of the waveform is smaller at the distant point **R2** than that at **R1**, however, phase is almost the same between two points. The acoustic and the seismic wave are detected after 8msec at **R1** and after 16msec at **R2**. Therefore the starting potential fluctuations can not be attributed to the arrival of the acoustic or the seismic wave. On the other hand the starting fluctuation can not be observed on the magnetic field as Figure 3. The magnetic field data are contaminated by the field induced by electric transmission lines close to the explosion point.

Comparing the radial component of the electric field variation just after the explosion the amplitude ratio of the two separate sensors is 0.29 and the phase difference is within 30deg. Also comparing the azimuthal component, the amplitude ratio is 0.29 and the phase difference is within 30deg. On the other hand the amplitude ratio of the azimuthal to the radial component is 0.31 both at **R1** and at **R2**, however, the phase difference is about 180deg. By analyzing the initial fluctuation in spectrum, the fundamental frequency is approximately 750Hz. There is no other peak frequency except its harmonics. The characteristic frequency of 750Hz is commonly detected in all of 4 potential records as can be expected by the similar shape of fluctuation of Figure 2.

### 4.Discussion

The radial decay of the amplitude of the electric field and the excess of the electric field relative to the magnetic field can be attributed to the source like an electric dipole. The assumed electric dipole must be placed on or under the ground surface where the measuring sensor units are allocated as in Figure 1(b). The ground conductivity around the explosion point is not known in detail but the apparent resistivity deduced by the VLF-MT technique was 10 to 1000hm\*m[Sakai, personal communica-tion].Therefore we first assume that the ground conductivity as in a homogeneous half sphere is 0.01S/m and the relative permittivity is 10. The calculation is performed at the measured characteristic frequency of 750 Hz using the submerged dipole calculation described by Fraser–Smith et al.[12]. The calculation is made for the vertical and for the horizontal electric dipole placed under the ground.

At first the radial and the azimuthal electric field strength and the orthogonal magnetic field strength, and their relative phase angles are calculated along the radial line up to 200m in horizontal from the location of the dipole. Horizontal variation of the calculated field and their phase angle for the vertical dipole depth of 70m is shown in Figure 4. The calculated electric and magnetic field strengths are normalized to the dipole moment of **p**. No azimuthal field can be expected theoretically for the vertical dipole, Although the azimuthal component can be expected for the horizontal electric dipole, the expected magnetic field strength relative to the electric field must be much larger than the upper limit of this measurement. Thus the main source of the electromagnetic fields can be attributed to the vertical electric dipole.

Then calculation is made to explain the ratio of Er(97)/Er(29) = 0.29 and their relative phase difference is within 30 degrees. Figure 5(a) shows the ratio with respect to the dipole depth and Figure 5(b) shows their relative phase angle. It is interesting to note

that the ratio is quite variable for the shallow dipole down to 10m-depth and it gradually increase with the depth. It can be seen that the ratio coincides at two depths with the value of 0.29 which has been measured by this experiment. The two depths are 8m and 68m. The relative phase angle at these depths are 0deg and 5deg, respectively. So the two depths are consistent with this experiment on this character-istic. Therefore the two dipole depths can be possible locations to produce the measured electromagnetic fields.





on Fig.5 Calculated vertical variation 5.Conclusion

Coincidental fluctuation of the electric fields were measured on the ground surface close to the underground explosion point. The fluctuation is coherent between two separate points and shows the radial decrease in amplitude. To explain these measured characteristic of the electromagnetic source generated by the underground explosion, model calculations for submerged vertical and horizontal electric dipoles are made. As the result of this calculation, a vertical electric dipole placed at 8m or 68m depth can be two possible sources of the explosion. However it is required to proceed more calculations to explain other characteristics measured in this experiment.

#### References

[1]U. Nitsan(1977), Geophys. Res. Lett., 4, 333-336

[2]J.W. Warwick, C. Stoker and T.R. Meyer(1982), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 87, 2851–2859
[3]I. Yamada and K. Masuda(1985), *CA Kenkyukai, '85*, 129–135(in Japanese)
[4]T. Ogawa, K. Oike and T. Miura(1985), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 90, 5245–6249
[5]G.O. Cress, B.T. Brady and G.A. Rowell(1987), *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 17, 1465–1468
[6]M.B. Gokhberg, V.A. Morgounov, T. Yoshino and I. Tomizawa(1982), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 87, 7824–7828

[7]T. Yoshino, I. Tomizawa and T. Shibata(1985), Ann. Geophys., 3, 727–730
[8]T. Yoshino and I. Tomizawa(1990), J. Geomag. Geoelectr., 22, 225–235
[9]I. Yamada and H. Murakami(1982), Jishin, 35, 393–400 (in Japanese)
[10]I. Yamada(1990), CA Kenkyukai '90, 39–48 (in Japanese)
[11]H. Sakai, H. Oda, T. Nakayama and H. Doi(1992), J. Phys. Earth, in press
[12]A.C.Fraser–Smith, D.M.Bubenik and O.G.Villard, Jr(1987), Radio Sci., 22, 567–577