## RECENT RESULTS ON MICROWAVE PROPAGATION

W. T. Barnett
Bell Telephone Laboratories
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733
U.S.A.

The available information on propagation effects in microwave radio communication systems is generally insufficient for either satisfactory performance estimates or optimum system design. There is a current effort, both experimental and theoretical, to obtain results on the fundamental properties of microwave line-of-sight systems. A brief review of some of the recent results will be given here as based on the work of several investigators.

## ANALYTIC MODEL FOR MULTIPATH PROPAGATION

Results have been obtained for the signal received during multipath fading conditions modeled by a constant vector plus an interfering random vector,

$$ve^{j\phi} = 1 + Re^{j\theta}$$

a) The cumulative amplitude distribution is given by

$$P(v \le L) = \pi g(1, \pi) L^2 + g_4 L^4 + g_6 L^6 + \dots$$

where  $g_{\mu}$ ,  $g_{6}$ , etc., are linear combinations of  $g(1,\pi)$  and higher derivatives of the joint probability density  $g(R,\theta)$  evaluated at R=1,  $\theta=\pi$ .

b) The number of fades per unit time below the signal level L is given by

$$N(L) = \frac{\ddot{v}_{+}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial L} P(v \leq L)$$
$$= \dot{v}_{+} g(1, \pi) L + O(L^{3})$$

where  $\overline{\dot{v}}_{+}$  is the average positive derivative of the fading signal.

c) The average duration of fades below the signal level L is given by

$$\overline{t}(L) = \frac{P(\underline{v} \leq L)}{N(L)} = \frac{2}{n \dot{v}_{\perp}} L + \dots$$

where n is the exponent of the dominant term in the amplitude distribution.

It can be seen from these results that if  $g(1,\pi)$  is non-negligible then the deep fade behavior of the amplitude distribution and number of fades will be proportional to  $L^2$  and L, respectively whereas if  $g(1,\pi)$  is sufficiently small they will behave as  $L^n$  and  $L^{n-1}$ , respectively. However, the average fade duration will go as L for a much broader range of environmental conditions since it is less sensitive to properties of  $g(R,\theta)$ .

## EXPERIMENTAL DATA

Experimental data on multipath propagation are difficult to obtain because long time periods of continuous coverage are needed to observe sufficient deep fading activity. With a considerable effort fine grain data (0.2 sec resolution) have been obtained at two different sites as given in Table I.

The fade depth distributions for the entire test period on all but the shortest path go as L2 The coefficients are related to the physical environment which is represented by  $\pi g(1,\pi)$  in the analytic model. The amount of fading increases with length and smoothness of the path and with frequency. The incidence of fading on the 25.4 km path was very low which can be interpreted as  $g(1,\pi)$  being negligible; thus, the fading was controlled by higher order effects which resulted in the L behavior.

The fade duration distributions have been obtained from the Ohio data. When the fade duration at level L is normalized to  $\overline{t(L)}$  the resulting variable is lognormally distributed for long fades such that 1% of the fades are longer than 10 times the average.

The time derivative (slope) of the envelope has been estimated from the fade duration distributions. The results show that the probability of high slopes is significant, e.g., at a 40 dB fade depth, 10% of the slopes will exceed 70 dB/sec.

A brief characterization of multipath fading at 4 GHz on a 50 km path during the fading season would be roughly one 40 dB fade every two days with an average duration of 3.4 seconds (1% chance of being 34 seconds or longer) with a 10% change of fading at a rate of greater than 70 dB/sec.

## ADDITIONAL RESULTS

Work is in progress on nondiversity and diversity propagation with particular emphasis on the detailed structure within a 30 MHz band. In the latter case considerable amplitude structure has been observed; some examples will be given in a movie which will accompany the oral presentation of this paper.

Georgia	25.4 km	ш 9.01	1969	<b>4</b>	.046L	31L <sup>3</sup>	160L	pt, 10.8×10 <sup>°</sup> se	ervals.
			1968	<b>=</b>	.18L	55L	180L		ile int
Georgia	57 km	40.8 m	1969	<b>4</b>	.26L <sup>2</sup>	1901	260L	May-Se	c one-m
			1968	<b>¬</b>	.35L <sup>2</sup>	42L	420L	1969,	ents a
Georgia	45.3 km	20.8 m	1969	4	.20L <sup>2</sup>	30F	250L	osec;	asurem
			1968	<b>⇒</b>	.18L <sup>2</sup>	33L	270L	, 5.2×1	ight me
Georgia	42.2 km	19.0 m	1969	ব	.24L2	38L 66L 33L 90L 42L 106L 55L 31L <sup>3</sup>	100ħ	lug-Oct	ain he:
			1968	<b>=</b>	.25L <sup>2</sup>	38L	350L	1968, 1	of term
Oh10	47.0 km	8.5 m	961 8961 6961 8961 8961 8961 8961 9961	<b>4</b>	.251 <sup>2</sup> .531 <sup>2</sup> .691 <sup>2</sup> .771 <sup>2</sup> .251 <sup>2</sup> .241 <sup>2</sup> .181 <sup>2</sup> .201 <sup>2</sup> .351 <sup>2</sup> .261 <sup>2</sup> .181 <sup>4</sup> .0461 <sup>4</sup>	37L 64L 120L 38L	408L 490L 330L 1130L 350L 400L 270L 250L 420L 260L 180L 160L	: toes <sub>0</sub> 01	nation (
Oh 10	45.6 km	16.0 m	1966		.69L <sup>2</sup>	120L	330L	, 5.3×3	ard de
				9 17	.53L <sup>2</sup>	7ħ9	490L	g-Sept	is the stands
				ব		37L	408L	66, Au	
Site	Path Lengths	Path Roughness (1)	Time Period (T)	Frequency Band	Fade Depth Distri- bution P(v <l)< td=""><td>Number of Fades [T N(L)] 10-2</td><td>Average Fade Dur- ation t(L)</td><td>Test Interval: 1966, Aug-Sept, 5.3×10°sec; 1968, Aug-Oct, 5.2×10°sec; 1969, May-Sept, 10.8×10°se</td><td>(1) Path roughness is the standard deviation of terrain height measurements at one-mile intervals.</td></l)<>	Number of Fades [T N(L)] 10-2	Average Fade Dur- ation t(L)	Test Interval: 1966, Aug-Sept, 5.3×10°sec; 1968, Aug-Oct, 5.2×10°sec; 1969, May-Sept, 10.8×10°se	(1) Path roughness is the standard deviation of terrain height measurements at one-mile intervals.

Microwave Propagation Data

Н

TABLE