2C2-3

The Dielectric Rod Antenna Designed by Genetic Algorithm Optimization

[#]Sungtek Kahng, Jeongho Ju Dept. of Info. & Telecomm. Eng., University of Incheon, Dohwa-dong, Nam-gu, Incheon, Korea, s-kahng@incheon.ac.kr

1. Introduction

Dating a century back, scientists and engineers recognized the importance of the roles that antennas play. In modern societies, with the advent of needs on expanded wireless communication, antennas become undoubtedly an essential part to the telecommunication system. And the demand on the antenna development has driven designers to devise a variety of kinds of antennas from wire-type through planar-basis to bulky waveguide type[1,2].

Showing the unique feature compared to other types of antennas, the dielectric rod antenna, so far, has been used for high directivity performance as the feed for the reflector antenna[1-4]. This comes from the excitation of hybrid modes or the aperture adjustment. For instance, a study was conducted on investigating the radiation mechanism related to the increasing length of the dielectric rod. Also, the relatively smaller volume of the structure for radiation can be designed using the dielectric rod antenna unlike dipole and waveguide horn antennas.

When it comes to the application to the collision avoidance of vessels, size-wise, the dielectric rod antenna is a good candidate, taken into account the limited room for placement on the board. However, the beam pattern along with directivity of the conventional dielectric rod antenna needs to be modified in the event of the incoming vehicle at any angle.

In this paper, the pattern that is omni-directional and broad in the azimuth and elevation angles, respectively, is required to generate by the use of a dielectric rod antenna. Simultaneously, the good return loss performance at a narrowband is needed. According to these requirements, the design is done by the optimization method of the GA[5]. Its procedures are addressed following the brief explanation on the electromagnetic method of solution. Finally, the design parameters are input to have the return loss and pattern that lead to validating the suggested work.

2. Theory

The circularly cylindrical dielectric rod is excited by a electric probe as a monopole back at the bottom of the metal shell. h_{diel} , h_{shell} , and h_{prb} denote the heights of the dielectric, metal shell and excitation probe.



Figure 1: Side and front-views of a dielectric rod antenna

The front view shows the dielectric rod and the metal shell are concentric with respect to Z-axis and their diameters are $2R_{diel}$, and $2R_{shell}$. The thickness is around one eighth of R_{shell} . With regard to the radiation mechanism, at the first step, Z-directed electric fields are generated in the metal shell with cylindrical cavity modes at multitude of lower frequencies due to the loading effect on the metal shell. And the magnetic fields along ϕ -direction bounce up to the rim of the metal shell and play

the magnetic currents as the secondary source for radiation. Therefore, the choice of the physical dimensions of this geometry will determine the antenna performance. The field analysis is accompanied to picture what kind of physics happens with the geometry for the design. As for the method of solution, the Method of Moment(MoM) solves the electromagnetic field integral equations throughout this work and the explanation is not repeated, since it is quite well-known.

Now the GA is proposed to get the design parameters' values that are optimal in producing the required performances. It is briefly addressed about the GA that it stochastically searches the global minimum point in the cost function, while doing selection and mating, crossover, mutation, and reproduction. As always, this optimization scheme work starts with defining the cost function as

$$Cost_{l} = \sum_{p=1}^{N_{p}} \xi_{p} |S_{ll}(f_{p}, GDPs) - S_{llp}|^{N_{e}}$$
(1)

$$Cost_2 = \sum_{q=1}^{N_q} \eta_q |P(\Theta_q, GDPs) - P_q|^{N_e}$$
⁽²⁾

where the return loss $S_{1l}(f_p, GDPs)$ at the *p*-th target frequency including the resonance is reduced to S_{1lp} (demanded return loss at the frequency) with weight ξ_p and order N_e . Eqn.(2) is about the desired gain of P_q at N_q angular points. N_p and GDPs mean the number of the frequencies of interest and the geometrical design parameters, respectively. The GDPs correspond to the genes, say, ε_r , h_{diel} , h_{shell} , h_{prb} , $2R_{diel}$, and $2R_{shell}$, each of which has N_{bit} binary bits. With N_u DeCaps, each of N_{pop} individuals comprizes 5 N_u genes. Afterwards, the population undergoes Selection, Crossover with rate P_{Cr} and Mutation with rate P_m over N_{genr} generations, with Elitism specifically for this work.

3. Realization and Validation

Prior to the design, let us remind ourselves of the requirements: Resonance at 4.8 GHz and '<-10dB return loss bandwidth' of 150 MHz especially for the vessel avoidance of collision, and omnidirectional in the azimuth and broad(gain slope<5dB/Deg) in the elevation. Considering cases of the collision avoidance for vehicles on the road, the design concern on the beam pattern is forwarddirected, since the antenna placed at the front of a car works only about other cars ahead. This means the conventional concept of a collision avoidance antenna has to handle fixed directions and narrow beamwidths. However, the story becomes different for the design of the anti-collision antenna for a vessel, because it can be hit by floating objects from any directions on the water. This explains why a broad radiation pattern is required. But we will be strict in guaranteeing the good return loss as the usual practices of antenna design.

Keeping the requirements above in mind, the design is proceeding to find the right values of the GDPs. For the sake of convenience, the probe's radius and aperture size are those of 500hms. And R_{shell} is excluded from the list of the design unknowns, since the thickness of the metal shell remains unchanged and R_{shell} will be automatically obtained from R_{diel} . Hence, the unknowns are ε_r , h_{diel} , h_{shell} , h_{prb} , and $2R_{diel}$. Varying these GDPs, the resultant return loss at frequencies of interest and the gain at interested angles are provided by the solver for each and every evaluation step in the middle of the optimization process. This is what has been done before. Here, a slightly modified way is suggested in carrying out the GA that instead of the real-time EM simulation at each fitness testing run during the GA optimization, the electromagnetic computations are performed on numerous combinatorial sets of design parameters over the frequency and angle, before the GA operation. Given the new search space, we conduct the optimization to spot the best parameter set. Again, the IDs of the parameter sets are now used as the secondary(new) unknowns or genes. As for the ranges of parameters, ε_r , h_{diel} , h_{shell} , h_{prb} , and $2R_{diel}$ are varied from 2.00 through 4.3, from 20mm through 160mm, from 40mm through 80mm, from 7mm through 70mm, and from 4mm through 80mm, respectively. Along with these sets, the GA work is given 5 genes, 80 individuals, 100 generations, P_m of 0.01 and P_{Cr} of 0.80. The following is the cost function satisfying the required return loss over the generation.



Figure 2: Cost function behavior during the optimal parameter search for the return loss.

This GA operation has been done with $|S_{Ilp}|$ (demanded return loss) of -30dB in the 150MHzbandwidth including 4.8GHz. The cost function decreases from generation to generation, but never becomes 0 in that -30dB is harsh to meet. Its variation is not so smooth, but it is not a big deal in that some different unknwons' sets have similar averages of the cost over a certain bandwidth composed of N_p points, and the result above indicates the area of the wanted unknowns fairly easily. If we have more *GDP*s' sets and expand the search space, the reduced scale fluctuation(convergence) will happen. Consequently, we could get the unknowns' set number 17 as the best return loss performance. The set is interpreted that ε_r , h_{diel} , h_{shell} , h_{prb} , and $2R_{diel}$ are 2.1, 110mm, 71mm, 15mm, 54mm, respectively. Including this set, a couple of the unknowns' groups result in the following frequency responses of the return loss.



Figure 3: Return loss curves on different unknowns' sets(optimal design parameters' set is included).

Eqn.(2) is used to find the opimal GDPs for the required gain (gain slope, more precisely here) as is done with the return loss requirement. In the first place, we need to know how the cost function related to Eqn.(2) behaves.



Figure 4: Cost function behavior in the optimal parameter search for the pattern.

The desired gain slope has been set '<5dB/Deg' from 0° to 180 ° (it is observed the elevation field pattern is symmetric with respect to Z-axis and the azimuthal plane is omni-directional pattern as expected from the circular cylindrical structure). Like the cost function of the return loss, the pattern design results in the seemingly convergent cost function variation. Still, the shaking in the cost function results from the fact that P_q of less than 5dB/Deg is neither very accute nor stringent, and it opens the room for different sets of the unknowns to enter. But it can be fixed with enlarging the search space. As a consequence of the optimization, we have the pattern with the gain below.



Figure 5: Radiation pattern curves on different unknowns' sets(optimal design parameters' set is included).

This looks somewhat unfamiliar to have one big main lobe. Nevertheless, its performance has been requested to make the vessel avoid other objects coming from at any angle.

4. Conclusion

This paper conducts a GA optimization to design the dielectric rod antenna that suits the vessel's collision avoidance on the water. The resultant performances of the return loss and the pattern show that the design methodology works well in terms of the less than -10dB at the wanted resonance frequency and the omni-direction and broad beamwidth for the purpose.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Research Grant R-2006-1-238 of the KESCO.

References

- [1] J. D. Kraus, and R. J. Marhefka, Antennas for All Applications, NY, USA: McGraw Hill, 2002
- [2] A. D. Yaghjian, and E. T Kornhauser, "A Modal Analysis of the Dielectric Rod Antenna Excited by the HE11 Mode," IEEE Trans. On Antennas & Propagation, Vol.AP-20, No.2, March 1972
- [3] G. M. Whitman, "An Approximate But Accurate Analysis of the Dielectric Wedge Antenna Fed By a Slab Waveguide Using the Local Mode Theory and Schelkunoff Equivalence Principle," IEEE Trans. On Antennas & Propagation, Vol.AP-54, No.4, April 2006
- [4] A. Kishk, "Radiation Characteristics of the Short Dielectric Rod Antenna : A Numerical Solution," IEEE Trans. On Antennas & Propagation, Vol.AP-35, No.2, February 1987.