

P.V.Bliokh and V.G.Sinitstin

Institute of Radiophysics and Electronics,

Academy of Sciences of the Ukrainian S.S.R.

Kharkov 85, U.S.S.R.

In many problems of radio-physics one has to consider focusing of the radiation whose phase and/or amplitude are random. Here we discuss the case of strong phase fluctuations ( $\langle \mathcal{S}^2 \rangle \gg 1$ , the angular brackets  $\langle \rangle$  denote the ensemble average). Unlike the prior treatments\*) the focusing structures can possess arbitrarily great aberrations. Such a situation is met, for instance, when focusing occurs in natural media like the Earth's ionosphere<sup>2</sup>, the solar corona<sup>3</sup>, etc.

If the observation point  $\vec{r}(x, y, z)$  is far enough from the focusing structure we can write for the field  $u(\vec{r})$  (using the Huygens principle):

$$u(\vec{r}) = \frac{k}{2\pi i} \int u_0 \exp[-ik\gamma + i\mathcal{S} + ik|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|] \frac{d\vec{r}'}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \quad (1)$$

Here  $k$  is the wavenumber,  $u_0(\vec{r}')$  the field amplitude in the aperture of the focusing structure (over which the integration is carried out),  $k\gamma(\vec{r}')$  and  $\mathcal{S}(\vec{r}')$  stand respectively for the regular and the random phase in the aperture,  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle = 0$ . We aim at deriving simple expressions for the average intensity of the radiation  $I(\vec{r}) = \langle u(\vec{r}) u^*(\vec{r}) \rangle$  (the asterisk\* means the complex conjugate) and the field correlation function  $Ku(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \langle u(\vec{r}_1) u^*(\vec{r}_2) \rangle$ .

In the Fresnel approximation we obtain from (1)

$$I(\vec{r}) = \frac{k^2}{4\pi^2 z^2} \int u_0(\vec{r}') u_0(\vec{r}'') \exp\{-i\mathcal{S}' + iK\gamma(\vec{r}', \vec{r}'')\} \cdot \exp\{-ik[\gamma(\vec{r}') - \gamma(\vec{r}'') - \frac{(x'-x)z}{2z} - \frac{(y'-y)z}{2z} - \frac{(x''-x)z}{2z} - \frac{(y''-y)z}{2z}]\} d\vec{r}' d\vec{r}'' \quad (2)$$

The random phase is assumed normally distributed and uniform, so that  $K\gamma(\vec{r}', \vec{r}'')$  depends on  $\vec{r}' = \vec{r}'' = \vec{r}''$  only. Since the magnitude of  $\langle \mathcal{S}^2 \rangle$  is great,  $K\gamma$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  can be ex-

panded in powers of  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$  to quadratic terms. To neglect the higher-order terms we need  $\langle \mathcal{S}_{1,2}^2 \rangle$

$\gg \Theta_0^2$ , with  $\langle \mathcal{S}_{1,2}^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2k^2} \frac{\partial^2 K\gamma(0,0)}{\partial \mathcal{S}_1^2}$  being the mean squares of the arrival angles and  $\Theta_0$  - the diffraction angle. If the most rapidly changing factor remained after the expansion in the integrand of Eq. 2 is  $\exp[-k^2/2 \langle \mathcal{S}_1^2 \rangle \langle \mathcal{S}_2^2 \rangle]$ , then the limits for  $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$  can be extended to  $\infty$  and the integration yields

$$I(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{\pi z^2 \langle \mathcal{S}^2 \rangle} \int u_0^2(p, q) \exp\left[-\frac{\Psi^2(p, q; p, q)}{\langle \mathcal{S}^2 \rangle}\right] dp dq \quad (3)$$

For simplicity's sake we set  $\langle \mathcal{S}_1^2 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{S}_2^2 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{S}^2 \rangle / 2$ . Equation 3 is a formulation of the Huygens principle for the incoherent radiation. It implies that the observed intensity is made up of contributions from all the aperture elements weighed by  $\exp(-\Psi^2/\langle \mathcal{S}^2 \rangle)$ .

The angle  $\Psi = \sqrt{[\mathcal{S}_p'(p, q) - \frac{x-p}{z}]^2 + [\mathcal{S}_q'(p, q) - \frac{y-q}{z}]^2}$  is that between the average wavefront normal at  $(p, q)$  (average ray) and the line of sight from  $\vec{r}(x, y, z)$  to  $(p, q)$ . Such a description corresponds to geometrical optics.

Equation 3 provides for finite values of  $I(\vec{r})$  at any observation point, including caustic surfaces or foci. Diffractive spread of the focal spot is replaced by that due to fluctua-

\* There exists vast literature dedicated to statistical properties of the wave field in the focal plane of a lense (cf. 1, 2), statistical antenna theory<sup>3, 4</sup> and other similar problems.

tions. However, the limits of validity of Eq. 3 still need consideration. Retaining terms of the order  $\exp(-\langle \theta^2 \rangle)$  in the expansion of Eq. 2, one can obtain

$$I_2 = I(\vec{r}) + \frac{k^2 \exp(-\langle \theta^2 \rangle)}{4\pi^2} \left| \int u(\vec{r}') \frac{\exp[ik|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|]}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} d\vec{r}' \right|^2$$

The second term is a "pure diffractive" intensity distribution i.e. the coherent part thereof. Now, the condition for the validity of Eq. 3 is  $I_{coh} \ll I_{incoh}$ , which, together with  $\langle \theta^2 \rangle \gg \theta_0^2$ , leads to the double inequality  $1 \ll \langle \theta^2 \rangle / \theta_0^2 \ll \exp\langle \theta^2 \rangle$ .

The correlation function  $K(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)$  can be calculated in a similar manner. For example, the transverse correlation (i.e.  $z_1 = z_2 = \text{const}$ ) is described by

$$K_u^1(\vec{r}_1, z; \vec{r}_2, z) = \frac{\exp[-i\frac{k}{z}(x_0 \Delta x + y_0 \Delta y)]}{\pi z^2 \langle \theta^2 \rangle} \times \int u_0^2(p, q) \exp\left[-\frac{\psi^2(x_0, y_0; p, q)}{\langle \theta^2 \rangle} + i\frac{k}{z}(p \Delta x + q \Delta y)\right] dp dq \quad (4)$$

with  $\vec{r}_0(x_0, y_0) = \frac{\vec{r}_1 + \vec{r}_2}{2}$ ;  $\Delta \vec{r}(\Delta x, \Delta y) = \vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2$ .

The sense of  $\psi$  is the same as in Eq. 3. Examination of the correlation function (4) in dependence of two pairs of variables -  $x_0, y_0$  and  $\Delta x, \Delta y$  - gives information separately on the "pure" diffraction (despite the great phase fluctuations) and on the scattering. This can be easily seen in case of the error-free lense for which we have  $\psi(x', y') = \frac{x'^2 + y'^2}{2F}$ ,

$$K_u^1(\vec{r}_1, F; \vec{r}_2, F) = \frac{\exp\left[-\frac{x_0^2 + y_0^2}{F^2 \langle \theta^2 \rangle} - i\frac{k}{F}(x_0 \Delta x + y_0 \Delta y)\right]}{\pi F^2 \langle \theta^2 \rangle} \times \int u_0^2(p, q) \exp\left[i\frac{k}{F}(p \Delta x + q \Delta y)\right] dp dq$$

The integral is essentially the Fraunhofer pattern of the aperture. In optics this result is known as the van Cittert-Zernike theorem!

#### References.

1. Krom, M.N. and Chernov, L.A. (Sov.) Acoust. J., v. 4, p. 341, (1958).
2. Denisov, N.G. and Tatarski, V.I. Radiofizika, v. 6, p. 488, (1963).
3. Shifrin, Ya.S., Statisticheskaya Teoriya Antenn (Monograph). "Sov. Radio" Publishers, Moscow, (1970).
4. Ruze, J., Antenna Tolerance Theory (Review), Proc. IRE, v. 54, p. 633, (1966).
5. Usikov, A.Ya. and Bliokh, P.V., Geomagnetizm i Aeronomiya, v. 2, No 2, (1962).
6. Bliokh, P.V., Sinitsin, V.G. and Fuks, I.M., (Sov.) Astron. J., v. 46, p. 348, (1969).
7. Born, M. and Wolf, E., Principles of Optics, fourth edition, Pergamon Press, (1970).