# **RCS Estimation of a Scale Model Rocket**

<sup>#</sup>Tan Watanabe, Hiroshi Okada, Naobumi Michishita and Yoshihide Yamada Electrical and Electronic Engineering, National Defense Academy 1-10-20 Hashirimizu, Yokosuka, 239-8686 Japan, e-mail: g48003@nda.ac.jp

#### **1. Introduction**

Owing to rapid increases of computational abilities in electromagnetic simulators and processing abilities of personal computers, RCS simulations become easy. Many examples of RCS simulations and comparing with measured results were reported [1] and [2]. Authors also had been investigating accurate measurement method and convenient simulation method [3]. Previously, the methods of achieving accuracy were not made clear.

In this paper, the procedure of achieving accurate measurement is explained using examples of anechoic chamber. As a RCS target, a simple rocket structure composed of fundamental RCS components such as tip, cylinder and hemisphere parts is employed. As for simulation method, PO and MoM simulation results and computer roads are compared. As examples of accurate small RCS simulations, FEKO and WIPL-D simulation results are compared with measured results.

#### 2. Measurement method

For measurement of RCS, a small anechoic chamber shown in Fig.1 is employed. The measured RCS value corresponds to the received power ( $P_r$ ) from the target. In this case, coupling power ( $C_1$ ) from the transmitter antenna and the reflected power ( $C_2$ ) from the pedestal of the target become interferences. And  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  determine the lowest measurement level of RCS. The received power ( $P_r$ ) from the target of RCS value  $\sigma$  is given by the next equation.

$$P_r = \frac{P_t G_t G_r \lambda_0^2}{(4\pi)^3 R^4} \sigma$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

The experimental target is shown in Fig.2. The target is composed of fundamental RCS components such as tip, cylinder and hemisphere. The theoretical  $\sigma$  of the target is summarized in Table.1.  $\sigma$  of the tip becomes -21.6 dBsm. So, minimum received power is requested less than -30 dBsm of  $\sigma$ . The power relations measuring  $\sigma = -30$  dBsm is calculated from Eq.(1) and shown in table.2. Here, transmitter power (P<sub>t</sub>) is 14.6dBm. Antenna gains of G<sub>t</sub> and G<sub>r</sub> are 14.75 dBi. The measurement frequency is 10 GHz. And the distance (R) between the transmitter antenna and the target is 4.4 m. Then, the received power (P<sub>r</sub>) from the  $\sigma = -30$  dBsm becomes -75.1 dBm. So, P<sub>r</sub> - P<sub>t</sub> becomes -89.7 dB. As a conclusion, interference level C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> must be lower than -90 dB.

In order to achieve small  $C_1$  value, the transmit antenna and receive antenna are covered by an absorbing sheet as shown in Fig.3. Moreover an absorbing sheet is inserted between two antennas. By this structure  $C_1$  of -90 dB is achieved. As for low  $C_2$  value, the pedestal of the target is covered by absorbing materials.  $C_2$  value of -93.4 dB is ensured. As for the reference of RCS measurement,  $\sigma$  of circular discs are measured. The results are shown in Fig.4. The white circles express measured results. The black squares express theoretical values of Eq.(1). It is recognized that the minimum measured  $\sigma$  of -30 dBsm can be achieved.

#### 3. Simulation method

Recently, abilities of personal computers and electromagnetic simulators have been improved rapidly. So, RCS calculations become possible by personal computers. Typical simulation methods applicable to RCS calculation are shown in Table.3. The PO method is very simple. However, this is approximation method. The MoM method can produce very accurate results. However, the very small mesh configuration of the target surface requires huge memory capacity and long calculation times. In order to reduce memory capacity, two schemes are proposed. One is the Multi Level Fast Multi-pole Method (MLFMM) that can lighten the matrix solving procedure. MLFMM can reduce memory capacity about 1/100 of the fundamental MoM. The other is employing high order function in expressing current on the mesh. In this case, large mesh size is acceptable. So, computer loads are surprisingly reduced.

In Table.4, simulation conditions are summarized. First of all, PO needs only a small computational resource. As typical examples of MoM simulations, famous simulators such as FEKO and WIPL-D are employed. In the case of WIPL-D, almost optimized simulation parameters are achieved. Especially, the revolutionary symmetric condition reduces calculation time effectively. In the case of FEKO, we could not optimize calculation conditions. The symmetric condition is not applied. And the uniform mesh size of  $\lambda/8$  is used. So, by optimizing mesh sizes and simulation set up conditions, calculation time will be sufficiently reduced.

## 4. Comparing of measured and simulated results

An example of measured result and PO result is shown in Fig.5. The tip and the hemisphere directions correspond to 0 degree and 180 degrees, respectively. In the angular region greater than 40 degrees, measured and PO results agree very well. At 90 degrees, because of the insufficient far field condition, measured level becomes lower. And the beam width becomes broad. In the angular region, less than 40 degrees, differences appear between the measured and PO results. In this region, more accurate MoM calculations are requested.

Comparing of measured and MoM results are shown in Fig. 6(a) and (b), calculated results of WIPL-D and MLFMM agree very well. In the comparing of measured and calculated results, periodicity and levels of all lobes agree rather well. So accuracies of methods are ensured. As for measurement levels, about -40 dBm values seem correctly measured.

# **5.** Conclusions

In order to achieve very small RCS measurement and calculations, measurement environmental condition tuning and accurate MoM simulations are conducted, respectively. Important technical results are as follows.

(1) As for measurement tuning, coupling between the transmit antenna and the receive antenna is suppressed lowest than -90 dB.

(2) Back scatterings around the target pedestal are suppressed lower than -93.4 dB.

(3) Typical MoM simulation tools such as MLFMM of FEKO and WIPL-D are employed for low RCS calculations.

(4) Calculated and measured results agree very well.

## Acknowledgments

Authors thank to Mr. Takashi Ito and Mr. Yoshimi Iwawaki of Riken Dengu Seizo corporation for their help of offering us to use WIPL-D. Authors also express thanks to Dr. C. J. Reddy and Dr. R. Sun of EM Software & Systems Inc. for their help in usage of MLFMM for RCS calculation.

## References

- [1] R.C. Johonson, H.A. Ecker, and R.A. Moore, "Compact Range Techniques and Measurements," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol.17, no.5, Sept. 1969.
- [2] A. Lonnqvist, J. Mallat, and A.V. Raisanen, "Phase-Hologram-Based Compact RCS Test Range at 310 GHz for Scale Models, "*IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.*, vol.54, no.6, pp.2391-2397, June 2006.
- [3] H. Okada, Y. Tajima, N.Michisita, and Y. Yamada "RCS Measurement and PO Simulation of a Scale Model Rocket," *Proc. International Symposium on Antenna and Propagation*, Oct. 2008.
- [4] G.T. Ruck, D.E. Barrick, W.D. Stuat, C.K. Krichbaum, "*Raddar Cross Section Handbook*," Prenum Press, pp.567-573, 1970.

The 2009 International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation (ISAP 2009) October 20-23, 2009, Bangkok, THAILAND



Fig.1 The measurement system



Fig.2 Configuration of a scale model rocket

Table.1 Theoretical RCS values of a scale model rocket				
	Polarization	Tin	Cylinder	Hemisphere

Polarization	I ip	Cylinder	Hemisphere
Vertical(dBsm)	-21.6	7.2	-15
Horizontal(dBsm)	-21.6	7.2	-15

σ (dBsm)	$P_t(dB)$	$P_r(dB)$	$P_r - P_t (dB)$	$C_1$ (dB)	$C_2$ (dB)
-30	+14.6	-75.1	-89.7	Less than -90	



Fig.3 Antenna set up of reduced coupling



Fig.4 Measurement calibrations by circular disks

Simulation	Simulation	Base	Figure of	Eastura	
method	tool	function	meshes	reature	
РО	FEKO		Trionala	Current on the object surface is directly expressed by the incoming electric field.	
	FEKO (MLFMM)	RWG function	Inangle	Currents on the small mesh segment object surface and incoming elec	Currents on the small mesh segments of the object surface and incoming electrical fields
MoM	WIPL-D	High order function	Quadrangle	are related by a matrix equation form.	

Tuble. I building of binduation conditions						
Simulation method		PO	MoM			
Simulation tool		FEKO	FEKO(MLFMM)	WIPL-D		
Computing	SPEC	CPU Xeon 3 GHz/ Memory 16 GB RAM				
machine	OS	Window XP 64bit Edition				
Frequency (GHz)		10 GHz				
Cell size		0.1λ	0.13λ	0.38λ~1.85λ		
The total number of meshes		132,278	101,926	1,540		
The total number of unknowns			152,889	11,736		
Total time (sec)		476	14,831	1,096		
Memory (MByte)		50	1,302	1,088		

Table.4 Summaries of simulation conditions



#### Fig.5 Measured and PO simulated results (vertical polarization)



