Enhancing Conversational Flexibility in Multimodal Interactions with Embodied Lifelike Agents
Kyoshi Mori           Mitsuru Ishizuka

1. Abstract
Research carried out in authoring systems for embodied agent based presentations have traditionally been confined to scripted interactive presentations. In recent years, however, there has been a gradual shift to adopting a more dynamic approach that supports a higher degree of flexibility in user-agent interactivity, where the user is allowed to engage in more natural conversations with the agent. In this paper, we will describe a conversational module based on techniques used in chat-bots, that we have implemented as an extension to our previously developed agent authoring system.

2. Introduction
In the last few years, an increasing number of attempts have been made to develop agent authoring systems that are able to generate agent applications like presentations on the fly. More recent development has led to presentations with increased user interactivity. We believe that such interactivity should involve direct interaction between the presenter (agent) and the intended audience (user) in an unrestricted dialogue setting. However, as it is impossible for the author to predict all possible user contributions, a mechanism that allows the agent to engage in dialogues not defined by the author is necessary. We will describe our implementation of such a mechanism, which combines chat-bot techniques and domain-to-domain transitions.

3. Multimodal Presentation Markup Language
The Multimodal Presentation Markup Language(MPML)[1] developed at the Ishizuka Laboratory, is an XML style markup language designed to allow authors to easily script animated agent presentations. MPML is actually a collection of projects each with a different focus and emphasis. Compared to previous versions, the current version of MPML, MPML 3.0 allows the author to script greater interactivity into a presentation. The author is thus allowed to create interactive background pages containing selections, which when clicked trigger the appropriate decision branch in the presentation. In this way, the user is able to interact in a somewhat limited degree with the agent. However, such interactive presentations lack in believability due to two reasons.

One is that the user interacts directly with the web page as opposed to the agent. By giving the user a set of answers to choose from, the user is momentarily distracted from the agent in attempting to click the right choice. This is inconsistent with live presentations where the audience interacts directly with the presenter. Clearly, agent presentations should also emphasize direct interactions between the user and the agent presenter.

The other reason is that interaction is confined to a limited number of answers that the user is forced to choose from. Although it is not impossible to increase the number of answers, each choice provided requires an additional presentation branch. This is due to the sequential structure of the script, which could easily become convoluted if too many choices are scripted into the presentation. In a dialogue where the conversation could easily lead to various topics, a different approach has to be taken.

We will attempt to deal with these problems in our implementation of the Conversational Module.

4. Conversational Module for MPML 3.0
The Conversational Module is a client-server approach, the client being a modified version of the MPML3.0 system that controls the agent presentation and the server consisting of a dialog engine which accesses a dialog database. This is shown in Figure 1 below.

4.1 The Conversational Model
Our approach in handling conversations with the agent is to have a case-based mechanism that runs on top of a reasoning mechanism (Figure 2). The case-based mechanism, which does simple pattern matching has the advantage of being quick and thus, being able to return near instantaneous responses to the user. This is crucial in maintaining believability in the interaction as the user does not have to wait long for a response. This reactive component is handled by the dialog engine.

On the other hand, simply having a reactive component is not sufficient in maintaining believability. The agent also needs to be pro-active in taking the initiative and ensuring that it achieves its aim in delivering a good presentation. To handle this, the reasoning mechanism tracks the conversation, monitoring both
user input and responses from the dialog engines and intervening based on changes in the conditions.
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4.2 The Dialog Engine

The dialog engine is based on the Artificial Linguistic Intelligent Computer Entity (ALICE)[2]. The ALICE chat engine implements the Artificial Intelligence Markup Language (AIML), which allows dialogs between the user and agent to be easily scripted. Based on XML, we believe AIML to be the perfect dialog extension to our MPML presentation system as authors already familiar with scripting in MPML can easily learn to script in AIML.

We are currently using the web version of the ALICE chat engine, where a PHP script accesses AIML defined scripts stored in a mySQL server. The interface with the Javascript based MPML presentation script is implemented using Javascript Remote Scripting.

4.3 The Reasoning Engine

(1) Domain Model

Our reasoning engine is implemented based on the Domain Model. According to Dahlback and Jonsson [3], the Domain Model represents the structure of the world and usually comprises a subset of general world knowledge. Domain Models hold knowledge of the world that is talked about. In relation to our research, the Domain Models are the knowledge related to the topic of the presentation, or a collection of subset topics of the main presentation content, depending on the nature of the content. This is advantageous to the author as it reduces the need to predict every single input the user will make, and instead, allows the author to concentrate on scripting conversation within a specified domain.

(2) Application to the Conversational Module

In our system, we have implemented a two domain system, where the system determines whether the user is conversing within the domain of the presentation topic or whether he is speaking out of topic. We will call the two domains In-Domain and Out-of-domain. The In-Domain dialog set is defined by the author, while the Out-of-Domain dialog set is taken from a pre-defined dialog set. The author is of course free to modify the Out-of-Domain set. The transition set is based on the table shown below in Figure 3.

Based on the type of input provided by the user, the agent’s response state moves from the In-Domain to Out-of-Domain field. To allow for a smooth transition, two intermediate states; Reluctant and Concede, are used. The Reluctant state is based on the fact that if a user says something out of the specified domain, the agent indicates that it is unable to understand the user and is therefore, ‘reluctant’ to pursue the direction proposed by the user. If the user persists in speaking out of domain, the agent then shifts to the Concede state where it eventually allows the user to take control of the direction of the conversation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Given input condition</th>
<th>Result in Agent State</th>
<th>Agent Response:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>:In-Domain</td>
<td>:In-Domain</td>
<td>Standard author defined response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>:Out-of-Domain</td>
<td>:Reluctant</td>
<td>User initiative handling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>:Out-of-Domain</td>
<td>:Concede</td>
<td>Lack Understanding handling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Currently, the factors influencing transitions between states are the user’s input and the agent’s previous state. Thus, when the user persists in speaking out of domain, the agent state will progress towards the Out-Of-Domain field. However, the agent can only proceed from the In-Domain to Out-of-Domain state by passing through the Reluctant and Concede states.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have shown how an interactive presentation authoring system based on natural conversations between the user and agent presenter can be implemented using our proposed conversational module. With our module, it is now also possible to script a dialog interaction within a presentation without having to predict all possible interactions that could occur. We plan to extend our work by focusing on increasing the functionality of the module, such as using more efficient state transitions techniques.
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