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Abstract—This paper presents a novel learning algorithm for the binary neural network that has the unit step activation function. The remarkable properties are binary weight vectors in the hidden layer and fault-containment. As teacher signals are given from a Boolean function, our algorithm determines hidden neurons based on the genetic algorithm where the chromosomes correspond to the binary weight vectors of hidden layer and the fitness corresponds to the number of separated teacher signals. The fault-containment is effective to reduce the rate of hidden neurons and smart BNNs can be synthesized.

1. Introduction

The binary neural network (ab. BNN) is a simple feed-forward network whose activation is represented by the unit step function [1]-[4]. The BNN can approximate a desired Boolean function and has many applications including pattern classification and error correcting codes [5] [6]. In order to synthesize the BNN, there exist several supervised learning algorithms including expand-and-truncate learning (ab. ETL) based on geometrical structure of teacher signal space [2]. The ETL and its improved version [?] are much simpler than the back-propagation algorithm that is not suitable for the BNN but for smooth feed forward networks.

This paper presents a novel learning algorithm (ab. FCLA) for the BNN: the remarkable properties are binary weight vectors in the hidden layer and fault-containment. As teacher signals are given from a Boolean function, the FCLA determines hidden neurons based on the genetic algorithm (ab. GA), where the chromosomes correspond to the binary weight vectors and the fitness corresponds to the rate of separated teacher signals. We then introduce a fault-containment parameter \( E \) in order to remove a few noisy teacher signals by permitting false separation. Even though the weight vector is binary, the fault-containment is effective to reduce the number of hidden neurons and the FCLA can synthesize smart BNNs. The efficiency of the FCLA is confirmed by basic numerical experiments. Note that our previous GA-based algorithm [7] has neither the binary hidden weight vectors nor the fault-containment parameter.

2. Binary Neural Networks

Fig. 1 shows the three-layer binary neural networks (ab. BNN) that can approximate a desired Boolean function \( F_B \) from \( N \)-dimensional binary space \( \mathbb{B}^N \equiv \{0,1\}^N \) to 1-dimensional binary space \( \mathbb{B} \equiv \{0,1\} \). The BNN is described by Equation (1).

\[
\begin{align*}
    y &= f_B(x), \quad F_B = B^N \rightarrow B \\
    y &= U \left( \sum_{j=1}^{M} W^o_j z_j - T^o \right) \\
    z_j &= U \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} W^h_{ji} x_i - T^h_j \right) \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in \mathbb{B}^N \) is the input vector, \( z = (z_1, \ldots, z_M) \in \mathbb{B}^M \) is the hidden layer output vector and \( y \in \mathbb{B} \) is the output, where \( M \) is the number of hidden neurons and \( M \geq \frac{2^N}{2^M} - 1 \).
of hidden layer neurons. \( U \) is the unit step function

\[
U(X) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{for } X \geq 0 \\
0 & \text{for } X < 0
\end{cases}
\]

\( W^o = (W^o_1, \ldots, W^o_M) \) is the output weight vector, and
\( W^h_j = (W^h_{j1}, \ldots, W^h_{jN}) \) is the weight vector of the hidden layer. In this paper, let \( W^h_{j1} \in \{-1, 1\} \). This binary setting of \( W^h_{j1} \) is much simpler than usual integer setting. \( T^o \in Z \) is the threshold of output layer and \( T^h \in Z \) is the threshold of \( j \)th hidden neuron where \( Z \) denotes integers.

3. Learning Algorithm

Our learning algorithm FCLA determines the hidden layer parameters \( W^h_j, T^h_j \) and the output layer parameters \( W^o, T^o \), which based on teacher signals from a desired Boolean function \( F_B \). Let \( U = \{u_1, \ldots, u_N\} \) be a subset of teacher signals such that \( f_B(u_j) = 1 \). Let \( V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_N\} \) be a subset of teacher signals such that \( f_B(v_i) = 0 \). Let \( u_j = \{u_{j1}, \ldots, u_{jN}\} \) and \( v_j = \{v_{j1}, \ldots, v_{jN}\} \), where \( u_{ji} \in B \) and \( v_{ji} \in B \). Since a teacher signal corresponds to a vertex of an \( N \)-dimensional hypercube, we then refer to an element of \( U \) (respectively, \( V \)) as true vertex (respectively, false vertex). Our algorithm consists of the following 5 steps and one subroutine.

Main routine

STEP 1 : Initialization.
Let \( j \) be an index of a hidden neuron and let \( j = 1 \).

STEP 2 : Determination of separated hyperplane
Using a GA-based subroutine shown afterward, we determine \( j \)th separated hyperplane (ab. SHP) corresponding to the \( j \)th hidden neuron. The \( j \)th SHP is represented by weight vector \( W^h_j \) and threshold of the hidden neuron \( T^h_j \). In the GA-based subroutine, the chromosome corresponds to the weight vector \( W^h_j \) and the fitness \( L_j \) corresponds to the rate of true vertices separated by the \( j \)th SHP over all the \( 2^N \) vertices. The threshold \( T^h_j \) is determined with the fitness as shown in the GA-based subroutine. The SHP can separate true vertices and our algorithm adopts a fault-containment principle: the SHP also separate a few noisy false vertices as shown in Fig. 2. Let \( E : 2^N \) be the upper limit number of the false separation. We refer to \( E \) as the fault-containment parameter.

STEP 3 : Inspection of the \( j \)th SHP
If \( L_j > E \) then true (and false) vertices separated by the \( j \)th SHP are declared as "don’t care", the \( j \)th SHP is adopted and goto STEP 4. If \( L_j \leq E \) then true (and false) vertices separated by the \( j \)th SHP are declared as "don’t care", the \( j \)th SHP is not adopted and goto STEP 4.

STEP 4 : Learning the output layer
The output neuron is determined by \( T^o = 1 \) and \( W^o_j = 1 \):

\[
y = U\left(\sum_{j=1}^{M} z_j - 1\right) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } z_j = 0 \text{ for all } j \\
1 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

That is, the output neuron can approximate the teacher signals by "OR" operation of the hidden neuron outputs. If \( E = 0 \), the exact realization of \( F_B \) is possible.

GA-based Subroutine

We use a set of chromosomes \( \{C_1, \ldots, C_K\} \) where \( C_l = (C_{l1}, \ldots, C_{lN}) \), \( C_{l} \in \{-1, 1\} \). The \( l \)th chromosome \( C_l \) corresponds to the \( l \)th hidden weight vector \( W^h_l \). For \( l \)th chromosome \( C_l \), we define the following function to estimate the fitness.

\[
h(x) = U(\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i x_i - D)
\]

where \( D \) is an integer parameter corresponding to the threshold \( T^h_j \). The fitness \( L_j \) is the rate of number for true vertices separated by the hyper plane \( C_j \cdot x - D \) with fault-containment parameter \( E \):

\[
L_j = \frac{\#\{u|h(u) = 1\}}{2^N} \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{\#\{v|h(v) = 1\}}{2^N} \leq E.
\]

Figure 2: Separated Hyper Plane (SHP)
Changing \( D \) from \(-N\) to \( N\) monotonically, we find a value of \( D \) that gives the maximum value of \( L_j \). If there exist plural values of \( D \) which give the maximum value of \( L_j \) we adopt the middle of the maximum and minimum values: \( D = \frac{1}{2}(D_{\text{max}} + D_{\text{min}}) \). After each chromosomes are evaluated by the fitness \( L_j \), we apply the Elite strategy, roulette selection, single point crossover with probability \( P_c \) and normal mutation with probability \( P_m \). We repeat such operations until the maximum generation \( T \).

4. Numerical Experiments

We apply the proposed FCLA to some numerical experiments, and compare the results to the ETL. In the numerical experiments, we fix the GA-parameters as the following after trials and errors.

\[(M, P_c, P_m, T) = (7, 0.7, 0.09, 10)\]

**Experiment 1: A 7-Bit Function.**

We apply the FCLA to a 7-bit function \( F_7 \). Table 1 shows the teacher signals: the number of true vertices is 42, the number of false vertices is 42, and the remained 44 vertices are "don’t care".

Fig. 3 shows an example of the results for \( E = 0.03 \): \( F_7 \) can be approximated by the BNN having three hidden neurons and each weight parameters \( W^h_i \) is 1 or -1. Fig. 4 shows the result by the ETL: the BNN has 19 hidden neurons where the weight parameters \( W^h_i \) take various integer values. Table 2 shows the results by FCLA for \( E \in \{0.00, 0.01\} \) and the result by ETL. In the table, column AV and SD shows the average value and standard deviation of the parameters: the hidden weights \( W^h_i \), output weights \( W^o \) and hidden thresholds \( T^h \). The output threshold \( T^o = 1 \) for all the algorithms. In this table, we can see that the FCLA can provide much smaller number of hidden neurons and much lower SD of parameters than
Table 2: Comparison of FCLA and ETL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>(w^1)</th>
<th>(t^1)</th>
<th>(w^2)</th>
<th>(t^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ETL</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCLA</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>18.11</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCLA</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E : Fault-Containment Parameter  
N : hidden neuron’s number  

Figure 6: two spirals

the ETL. Fig. 5 shows characteristic of the number of hidden layer neurons for fault-containment parameter \(E\): we can see that \(E\) is a suitable selection in this experiment.

Experiment 2: Two Spirals problem.  
The two spirals problems require a highly nonlinear classification. It is an extremely hard problem [8]. We then apply the FCLA to teacher signals of two spirals in Fig. 6. The number of true vertices is 180 and the number of false vertices is 76. Fig.7 shows the number of hidden neurons for the fault-containment parameter \(E\).

5. Conclusions

We have considered a simple learning algorithm for the BNN. The algorithm has fault-containment and determines hidden neurons based on GA. Even though the weight vector is binary, the fault-containment is effective to reduce the number of hidden neurons and smart BNNs can be constructed. Future problems include analysis of learning process, automatic setting of learning and GA parameters, comparison with other digital systems and applications to practical problems.
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