Absorption of Near-Fields through Hyperbolic Metamaterials with Finite Thickness
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Abstract—We use spectral theory to analyze the radiation of elementary dipoles located close to homogeneous hyperbolic metamaterials (HMs) with finite thickness, focusing on the effect of losses in the HM. We show that for loss tangents (due to anisotropy) smaller than or equal to $10^{-1}$ the effect of the HM on dipole radiation is twofold: first, it induces an enhancement in the emitted power; second, most of this power is directed toward the HM itself. Then, we investigate the power scattered by a plasmonic nanosphere under plane wave incidence on top of a realistic multilayered HM with finite thickness. The multilayered HM, when homogenized, exhibits loss tangents of the same order of our previous analysis. We finally report that the multilayered HM enhances the nanosphere's scattered power, which is mainly directed toward the multilayered HM, in agreement with results relative to impressed dipoles.

I. INTRODUCTION

Hyperbolic metamaterials (HMs) have been very recently at the center of studies for many applications including focusing with subwavelength resolution [1-7], negative refraction [8], absorption [9-12], enhancement of spontaneous emission [13-15], quantum and thermal emitters [16, 17]. A review of applications of HMs has been recently reported in [18]. In particular, a spectral theory approach [19] has been used in [12] to show that infinitely extended HMs are promising candidates for the absorption of near-fields. We show in this paper how losses affect the potential of a HM with finite thickness to absorb near-fields generated near its surface.

II. SPECTRAL THEORY: CALCULATION OF THE POWER Emitted by an Impressed DIPOLE

Assume to have a dipole $\mathbf{p} = p_x \hat{x} \delta(\mathbf{r})$ polarized transverse to the $z$ axis in proximity of a grounded, homogeneous HM with finite thickness as depicted in Fig. 1. The transmission line formalism [12, 19] is used to calculate the power emitted by the dipole. This formulation is flexible because the spectral power redistribution in both TE and TM wave spectra can be independently decomposed into the power directed towards the bottom space (substrate) and the upper space (free space). The total power radiated by the dipole is evaluated using the spectral integrals given as

$$P_{up,down} = \frac{\omega^2}{8\pi} \int_0^\infty p_{up,down}(k_x) dk_x , \quad (1)$$

where $k_x$ is the transverse wavenumber and

$$P_{up,down} = \frac{\text{Re} \left[ Y_{up,down}^\text{TM*}(k_x) \right]}{\text{Re} \left[ Y_{up,down}^{\text{TM},*}(k_x) \right]} k_x.$$

The details of formulation and methods for the evaluation of TE and TM admittances in (2) have recently been reported in [12]. In the following, we denote with $P_{up}$ and $P_{down}$ the dipole emitted power directed to the free space and to the substrate, respectively.

III. EFFECT OF LOSSES ON REDISTRIBUTION OF POWER

It is well-known that the power emitted by dipoles in proximity of HMs experiences a dramatic increase due to the wide spatial spectrum of waves that can propagate inside HMs [12]. However, an increase in emitted power can also be achieved when the dipole is in proximity of an isotropic material with high losses. We thus believe it is important to determine a threshold of losses in HMs such that the composite HMs still preserve advantages versus a lossy isotropic material.

To this aim, we consider the two following substrates: (A) a homogeneous HM substrate characterized by a permittivity tensor $\hat{\varepsilon}_\text{HM} = \varepsilon_1 (\hat{x} \hat{x} + \hat{y} \hat{y}) + \varepsilon_2 \hat{z} \hat{z}$ whose entries are

Fig. 1. An electric dipole polarized transverse to the $z$ axis located at a distance $h$ from the surface of a HM of finite thickness $w$. ©2013 IEICE
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\( \varepsilon_r = (1 - j \tan \delta) \varepsilon_r' \) \( \varepsilon_z = (1 - j \tan \delta_z) \varepsilon_z' \) that results in a hyperbolic wave number dispersion for TMz waves when \( \varepsilon_r' \varepsilon_z' < 0 \), as can be observed in Fig. 2 for a specific set of parameters; (B) a lossy dielectric with relative permittivity \( \varepsilon_{\text{die}} = (1 - j \tan \delta_{\text{die}}) \varepsilon_{\text{die}}' \).

As an example, we assume \( \varepsilon_r' = -20 \), \( \varepsilon_z' = 3 \), \( \varepsilon_{\text{die}}' = 4 \), \( h = \lambda_0 / 40 \) and \( w = \lambda_0 / 4 \) where \( \lambda_0 \) is the wavenumber in free space at \( f_0 \). Then, we compute the total power \( P_{\text{tot}} = P_{\text{up}} + P_{\text{down}} \) emitted by the dipole and the ratio \( P_{\text{down}} / P_{\text{up}} \) for various sets of \( \tan \delta_z \), \( \tan \delta_z \), and \( \tan \delta_{\text{die}} \) using (1).

In Fig. 3 we show an illustrative example. We plot \( P_{\text{tot}} \) (normalized by \( P_{\text{free space}} \), the power radiated by the same dipole in free space) versus \( \tan \delta_z \in [10^{-4}, 1] \) (note that \( \tan \delta_z < 0 \) because \( \varepsilon_z' < 0 \)) for four representative cases: HM substrates with (i) \( \tan \delta_z = -0.1 \tan \delta_z \), (ii) \( \tan \delta_z = -\tan \delta_z \), (iii) \( \tan \delta_z = -10 \tan \delta_z \), and (iv) isotropic dielectric with \( \tan \delta_{\text{die}} = -\tan \delta_z \). When losses are comparable to the ones found in standard dielectrics ( \( \tan \delta_z = 10^{-2} \) ), the HM substrates [cases (i)-(iii)] enhance the radiated power by the dipole with a factor of \( \approx 11.9 \) with respect to the dipole’s radiation in free space, whereas for the dielectric substrate [case (iv)] the factor is only \( \approx 1.7 \). However, as losses are increased, the power emitted by the dipole located above the dielectric substrate also increases. In particular, above \( \tan \delta_z = 0.32 \), it surpasses case (iii) and above \( \tan \delta_z = 0.71 \) it also surpasses cases (i)-(ii).

In Fig. 4, we plot the ratio \( P_{\text{down}} / P_{\text{up}} \) for the same cases shown in Fig. 3. Analogously to the cases shown therein, we again observe that if losses are small, the use of HM substrates leads to a significant ratio \( P_{\text{down}} / P_{\text{up}} = 80 \), much larger than in the case of an isotropic dielectric, where \( P_{\text{down}} / P_{\text{up}} = 3.5 \); note however that the latter induces larger ratios for larger losses.

IV. ENHANCEMENT AND ABSORPTION OF SCATTERED FIELDS IN PRESENCE OF HYPERBOLIC METAMATERIALS WITH FINITE THICKNESS

The plots in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 have shown how losses in HMs affect the radiation of an impressed dipole. We then investigate the realistic scenario of near-field (generated by a scatterer under plane wave incidence) absorption by multilayered HMs.

In Fig. 5, a nanosphere with radius \( r \) located in proximity of a multilayered HM made of gold and alumina layers with thicknesses \( d_1 = d_2 = 20 \text{ nm} \). We consider 5 periods, so the total thickness of the HM substrate is \( w = 200 \text{ nm} \).
We consider a plasmonic nanosphere, here modelled as an electric dipole, on top of a multilayered HM, as illustrated in Fig. 5, which also shows all the scattering processes taken into account in our computation. In particular, the local field acting on the nanosphere accounts for the incident plane wave, the reflected plane wave from the substrate, and the self-coupling of the scattered field by the nanosphere through the substrate. The HM is composed of periodic layers of gold (whose permittivity is taken from \([20]\)) and alumina with thicknesses \(d_1 = d_2 = 20\) nm. The HM under investigation has a finite thickness \(w = 5(d_1 + d_2) = 200\) nm and is placed on top of a silicon substrate. The nanosphere is made of gold and has a radius \(r = 20\) nm.

In Fig. 6 we report (a) the real parts of the permittivity tensor entries and (b) the loss tangents obtained by effective medium approach \([6, 12, 21]\) whose limitations have been recently discussed in \([12, 21]\). It is clear from Fig. 6(a) that the multilayered HM yields \(\text{Re}(\varepsilon_1) < 0\) and \(\text{Re}(\varepsilon_2) > 0\) over a very wide frequency band, guaranteeing hyperbolic wavenumber dispersion.

We now analyse the power scattered by the nanosphere relative to the multilayered HM in Fig. 5, computed again using (1) following the steps detailed in \([12]\). In Fig. 7(a), the total power \(P_{\text{tot}}^S = P_{\text{up}}^S + P_{\text{down}}^S\) scattered by the nanosphere on top of the HM is plotted for normal plane wave incidence with \(|\mathbf{E}| = 1\, \text{V/m}\). For comparison, we also plot the total power \(P_{\text{free space}}^S\) scattered by the nanosphere when it is in free space.

It should be noted that in the low frequency region of the plot (up to 360 THz) in Fig. 7(a), the HM does not provide an enhancement of the scattered power. Above 360 THz, we observe \(P_{\text{tot}}^S > P_{\text{free space}}^S\). At around 580 THz, we observe a peak for both cases, although the scattered power in the HM case is as large as \(P_{\text{tot}}^S = 15P_{\text{free space}}^S\). In Fig. 6(b) we observe that from 360 THz up to 500 THz, both \(|\tan\delta_1|\) and \(|\tan\delta_2|\) are around 0.1, and from 500 THz up to 590 THz the loss tangents of the HM grow rapidly where \(|\tan\delta_2|\) becomes much larger than \(|\tan\delta_1|\). However, we see that the enhancement of the scattered power [Fig. 7(a)] is preserved even for large \(|\tan\delta_1|\), which is in agreement with the results in Fig. 3 (solid red curve for \(|\tan\delta_2| = -0.1\tan\delta_1\) ). The ratio \(P_{\text{down}}^S / P_{\text{up}}^S\) is reported in Fig. 7(b) for the HM case in Fig. 7(a). We observe that independent of tangent loss levels in Fig. 6(b), most of the scattered power is directed towards the multilayered HM substrate in agreement with the results in Fig. 4. Moreover, we note that even though in the low frequency region of the plot the scattered power is not enhanced with respect to the free space case [Fig. 7(a)], most of this power is directed toward the HM as outlined in Fig. 7(b).

V. CONCLUSION

The results shown in this paper inherently show that HMs can be used as an innovative way to absorb near-fields: when they are coupled to the HM, they are transformed into propagating spectral components with very large wavenumbers. In particular, we have observed that (i) multilayered HMs enhance the amount of power scattered by a nanosphere in close proximity to the HM surface. Moreover, (ii) the scattered power is mostly redistributed toward the HM substrate. We observe that HMs preserve these qualities when the homogeneous permittivity tensor entries exhibit loss tangents up to about 0.1.
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