ABET - Engineering Accreditation Commission **PROGRAM AUDIT FORM** (PROVIDE A COPY TO INSTITUTION AT EXIT MEETING)

Institution	
Program Name	Program Evaluator
Program Criteria Used for Evaluation	-
Team Chair	Visit Dates

	"C" for concern, "W" for weakness, and "D" for deficiency in the propriate line ¹ .	Exit Interview	14-Day Response	Due Process	Final
	THE PROGRAM HAS NO DEFICIENCIES OR WEAKNESSES, ECK THIS LINE AT EACH APPROPRIATE TIME				
1.	STUDENTS				
2.	PROGRAM EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES				
3.	PROGRAM OUTCOMES AND ASSESSMENT				
4.	PROFESSIONAL COMPONENT				
5.	FACULTY				
6.	FACILITIES				
7.	INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT AND FINANCIAL RESOURCES				
8.	PROGRAM CRITERIA				

¹Definition of terms:

Concern: A concern indicates that a criterion is currently satisfied; however, potential exists for this situation to change in the near future such that the criterion may not be satisfied. Positive action is required to ensure full compliance with the criteria.

Weakness: A weakness indicates that a criterion is currently satisfied but lacks strength of compliance that assures that the quality of the program will not be compromised prior the next general review. Remedial action is required to strengthen compliance with the criteria.

Deficiency: A deficiency indicates that a criterion is not satisfied. Therefore, the program is not in compliance with the criteria and immediate action is required.

Institution	Program	Year				
Evaluator						
NGR	This action indicates that the program is in full compliance with the applicable criteria. This action is taken only after a general review and has a typical duration of six years.					
RE	This action indicates that satisfactory remedial action has been taken by the institution with respect to weaknesses identified in the prior IR action. This action is taken only after an IR evaluation. This action extends accreditation to the next general review and, thus, has a typical duration of either two or four years.					
VE	This action indicates that satisfactory remedial action has been taken by the institution with respect to weaknesses identified in the prior IV action. This action is taken only after an IV evaluation. This action extends accreditation to the next general review and, thus, has a typical duration of either two or four years.					
SE	This action indicates that satisfactory remedial ac respect to deficiencies identified in the prior SC a evaluation. This action typically extends accredi has a duration from one to five years.	action. This action is taken only after a SC				
IR	This action needed indicates that compliance wit to ensure that the quality of the program will not The nature of the weaknesses is such that an on-s remedial actions taken by the institution. A repor- the institution will be required. This action has a	be compromised prior to the next review. ite visit will not be requires to evaluate the rt focusing on the remedial actions taken by				
IV	This action indicates that compliance with applic ensure that the quality of the program will not be nature of the weaknesses is such that an on-site v actions taken by the institution. This action has a	compromised prior to the next review. The isit will be required to evaluate the remedial				
SC	This action indicates that a program has deficient compliance with the applicable criteria. An on-si actions taken by the institution to remove the def of one year.	ite visit will be required to evaluate the				
NA	This action indicates that a program has deficient non-compliance with the applicable criteria. Thi evaluation or the evaluation of a new, unaccredite extended as a result of this action.	s action is usually taken only after a SC				

RECOMMENDED ACCREDITATION ACTION FORM

If this is a new program, indicate the academic year in which accreditation is to begin (See Section II.C.4. of the Accreditation Policy and Procedure Manual.) ______.